

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97190
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ACADEMIC STRESS AND THESIS COMPLETION AMONG UNIVERSITY POSTGRADUATE STUDENTS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Most are recent but there are very old references that should be replaced or removed. On introduction, line 6, <u>thesis completion</u> is part of the academic goals. Therefore, it should be not be mentioned. <u>Kill stress</u> should be deleted too. On the second paragraph, at the bottom of the same page, <u>the relationship with the opposite sex colleagues</u> either should be removed to be re-written. Every expression used for the first time such as Ph.D. of M.Phil. should be written completely. Then the following times abbreviation is used. Are there differences in academic stress that affects MPhil students as compared to Ph.D. students? On page 3, at the bottom of the page, <u>According to Main (2014)</u>, 2014 has already been mentioned once. Therefore, there is no need to mention the date again. On page 4, I could not find some of the references such as <u>Booth & Satchell, 1995 and Skopek, 2020</u> on the list of references. On page 8, middle of the page, <u>Others are; lack of ...</u> There is no need for ; On page 11, instead of writing <u>Discussion of Results</u>, it should be written like Discussion, Conclusions and Recommendation. All three parts should combine. Overall, it was a good manuscript and should be published.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Valid Motamedi
Department, University & Country	Kharazmi University, Iran