

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97190
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ACADEMIC STRESS AND THESIS COMPLETION AMONG UNIVERSITY POSTGRADUATE STUDENTS
Type of the Article	Quantitative and descriptive

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The paper focuses on two components – academic stress and thesis completion amongst university students. It follows a quantitative methodology and draws upon recommendations based on the findings.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Could be better, changes have been mentioned in the manuscript itself.</p> <p>Yes, as it has carried out first-hand primary research to come up with evidence.</p> <p>Yes, references used are appropriate.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is. However, I would suggest that the author use phrases that are generally used in academic research rather than making sentences very wordy.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oleena Chaudhuri
Department, University & Country	Maastricht University, UNU-MERIT, Netherlands