

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97179
Title of the Manuscript:	Our world is changing-And what that means for educators
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The manuscript deals, in an essayistic manner, with some of the directions of action in contemporary education. References are made to a number of general issues, of which the author has chosen to focus on the necessary change in the field of assessment. - The title of the manuscript is too general and worded in an interrogative manner. Rather, it could be the question from which the author starts in formulating some clarifications related to school evaluation. Additionally, if types of assessment and alternative assessment are keywords, then the title should also suggest that the manuscript focuses on assessment (as an aspect in relation to which the changes in education in the post-Covid-19 era are analyzed). A possible title might be: New Emphasis of School Assessment in a Changing World - To be framed in a scientific article, one should use more statistical evidence and avoid the dominant interrogative or imperative tone. Otherwise, subjectivity dominates at the expense of objectivity. - We recommend a more rigorous systematization of ideas and a more organized presentation of arguments. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To review some aspects related to the language. - To respect the presentation of references according to the Authors' Guide 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ilie Vali
Department, University & Country	University of Craiova, Romania