

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97175
Title of the Manuscript:	ADJUSTMENT OF STUDENTS TO THE TERTIARY LANDSCAPE: THE DYNAMIC INFLUENCE OF PSYCHOSOCIAL EDUCATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. <b>Yes. The study examined the influence of psychosocial education on students' adjustment to the tertiary landscape and a sectional survey was applied.</b>  2. <b>Yes.</b>  3. <b>make a more solid relationship between the theoretical references.</b>  4. <b>Yes.</b>  5. <b>Yes.</b>  6. <b>Yes.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes. Just need a small review	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>paginate the document</li> <li>must refer to the informants' ethical and data protection procedures in the abstract</li> <li>review references using APA 7</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Liliana Rodrigues</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Research Centre of Education, University of Madeira, Portugal</b>