

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97116
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF CODE-SWITCHING ON FOREIGN LANGUAGE CLASSROOM ANXIETY AND ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROBLEMS: A PATH ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript seems important for the the scientific community because the issue is common for all learners of a language as a Foreign language. 2. The title is suitable. Hence, it is good to use it as it is. 3. The abstract is comprehensive enough as it comprises of the why of the study, the method used, how it is analyzed and the findings. 4. Yes 5. Yes, but the theory it is based on should be discussed well 7. The references are sufficient and recent , but some are not listed in the reference list The researcher should have used the standard format of the research for in text citations.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good language command for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tilahun Negash Mekuria
Department, University & Country	Debre Markos University, Ethiopia