

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_96968
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Mathematical Reasoning Ability in Junior High Schools in China
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. This manuscript is important for the scientific community, especially for educators, teachers, and researchers. 2. The article title given is by the article's contents. 3. The abstract in the article does not include data collection and data analysis techniques. For example, the literature was collected through the Google Scholar database in the last ten years and it was analyzed using the Miles & Huberman interactive model. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. But it would be nice to include the methodology, not the data source (after the introduction). So, it includes research type in the form of a literature review, data collection techniques, and data analysis. The research type can include the study model used. For example, it uses the Prisma model 5. Scientifically, the manuscript is correct. 6. The article references are in the latest edition, but there are several references that have been used over the past 10 years. 7. Kindly conclude in paragraph form, not bullet points. 8. Kindly attention to writing techniques that must be adapted to the journal template.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes, english according to scientific communication	
Optional/General comments	in general the article is good, coherent, and interesting.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indah Suciati
Department, University & Country	Alkhairaat University, Indonesia