

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_96932
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on Geometric Intuition of Primary and Junior High School Students in China
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, though it is technically more of analysis of artifacts. Remove the word research in the title. Just state: Students' geometric intuition in China The abstract should describe how the artifacts were selected, presented and analyzed. Yes, the sections were systematic and presented thematically. Not much because this is more of document analysis. Yes, but concentrate on more recent studies (over the last 5 years).	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but it is highly recommended to have this paper subjected to stylistics and editing.	
Optional/General comments	The studies mentioned could have been summarized in tabular form to check on the similarities and differences. The discussion may have been more appealing and reader friendly if summarized in terms of key points using infographic, tables, etc.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dennis G. Caballes
Department, University & Country	The National Teachers College, Philippines