

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_96931
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Cultivation Strategy of Junior Middle School Students ' Mathematical Innovation Consciousness
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is ok for scientific community.</li> <li>2. The title needs to be clearer by rewriting.</li> <li>3. The Abstract has to include the number of articles that reviewed, data collection method, methodology, analysis procedures, results in shortly and short conclusion. Here, there are only a short introduction and moving to a long results mentioned in details . Therefore, have to rewrite the abstract in proper way.</li> <li>4. The references need to rewrite according to APA style and reorder according to Alphabet order.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	suitable	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The key words need to reorder in alphabet order	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adwan Mohammad Hasan Bani-Hamad
Department, University & Country	Emirates Schools Establishment, United Arab Emirates