

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_96907
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of India towards Economic-Growth and Development of BRICS Member Countries
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, your approach contributes to the area of knowledge. 2. Yes, the title is fit for context. 3. The abstract informs the main parts of the manuscript, that is, it is appropriate. 4. No, because the methodological section is absent. 5. To be correct it is necessary to proceed according to the suggestions indicated in the manuscript. 6. For the manuscript to be with higher quality, it would be necessary to work even more the state of the art, but I have no suggestions for references for the moment.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I'm not a native. For that reason, I would prefer not to intervene in this matter.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> I did not identify ethics problems that make it impossible to publish the manuscript.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Josimar da Silva Freitas
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Amapá, Brazil