

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJESS_96904 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Role of Mathematics Knowledge in Advancing Learning of Science in Public Junior Secondary Schools in Rivers States |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | 1-yes-This article is the manuscript important for scientific community 2- yes- the title of the article is suitable. 3- yes- the abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4- yes- subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5- yes- the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6- The latest sources are from 2014. The sources are old. Use newer sources. | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes- language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications However, abbreviated terms need explanation. | |
| Optional/General comments | The research in the article is clearly explained. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Ashrafoalsadat Shekarbaghani |
| Department, University & Country | Research Institute of Education Studies, Organization for Educational Research and Planning, Iran |