

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_96831</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Parents' Participation in Decision Making Process in the Promotion of a Healthy School Climate in Public Secondary Schools in the South West Region, Cameroon</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community because the participation in decision making among parents indeed provides significant benefits to the development of educational field.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable however, it is not succinct and precise. Suggestions for alternative title: <b><i>"Promoting a Healthy School Climate in Public Secondary Schools: Parents' Participation in Decision Making Process."</i></b></p> <p>3. The Abstract has a good grasp of the purpose of the study, methodology, sampling, analysis as well as the findings and recommendations. However, the abstract did not state any core issue (problem statement), the significance of the study as well as the instrument employed. <b>Suggestions for improvement:</b> i. The core issue (problem statement) of the study should be highlighted. ii. The significance of the study as well as the instrument employed should be explained in the Abstract.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct in terms of the approach, sampling procedure as well as the analysis part. However, the significance of the study was not vividly described. The analysis part was not robust enough and the discussion of the subject matter was not purely analytical. The author was not able to presents sufficient relevant research related to the findings in the discussion section. It merely supports one finding from the literature review that is only Water (2011). Moreover, there is only little explanation on the instrument used. <b>Suggestions for improvement:</b> i. The significance of findings should be clearly described. ii. The discussion section should make more comparisons with the findings and other studies. iii. More information pertaining to the instrument should be described.</p> <p>6. The references are quite sufficien.t</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The English quality of the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications, however, there are a few grammar and spelling mistakes that need to be taken seriously.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript meets the general criteria for the journal. The content of the manuscript is appropriate for the journal because it provides insights related to decision making, parental involvement and the school climate. The samples are appropriate and representative.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cheng Sim Quah
Department, University & Country	Institute Aminuddin Baki, Malaysia