

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_96504
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF DISTANCE LEARNERS' DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES ON MOTIVATION AND PERFORMMANCE IN FLIPPED CLASSROOM
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Manuscripts are important to the scientific community Title is appropriate The abstract is incomplete; writing methodology needs to be clarified and research results must be accompanied by statistical figures Not yet appropriate, where the study objectives and hypotheses should not be presented in the article and the results and discussion need to be reviewed again, but I have corrected them in the results and discussion section already correct it's enough <p>Objective notes of an hypothesis study;</p> <p><i>In writing an article, the purpose of the study and the hypothesis do not need to be written because this is not a thesis or dissertation. For that it was removed</i></p> <p>Conclusion Notes: <i>The presentation of the conclusions is good but needs to be supplemented with statistical data that briefly describes the two variables</i></p> <p>Statement of Problem</p> <p><i>It is better if this Statement of Problem sentence is deleted and the entire paragraph is used as Introduction</i></p> <p>Abstract Note:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>In the abstract the methodology used must be written clearly</i> <i>Presentation of research results must be accompanied by statistical numbers because this is a quantitative study</i> 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kapraja Sangadji
Department, University & Country	Ambon State Islamic Institute, Indonesia