

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_95782
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges and Experiences of Pre-Service Teachers in Practice Teaching in the New Normal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Partial only. The outcome of the research is not significant.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. Please refer to "Major observations"</p> <p>Partial. Please refer to "Major observations"</p> <p>Partial. The objectives are very limited. Every reader expects solution to the problems and challenges identified in this research.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Please refer to "Major observations".</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p><i>Requires improvement. The abbreviations should have been expended when they are cited first.</i></p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Further work is needed. The author has to follow the suggestion made in the "Major observations" Please rewrite the article.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract needs to provide one line introduction, objectives of the research, description of the samples, major findings and suggestions to improve the performances. It need not give all the details of the sample in the Abstract. 2. The abbreviations are to be expanded when they are first cited [ASC., BSE., BEED., ICT, HEI., CHED., WHLP., IMs., etc.]. 3. Use Likert's four-point or five-point ratings. 4. Find the weighted average each difficulties stated. 5. Prepare a synthesis of problems identified by various researchers from the literature survey survey-done. 6. Provide the average age, mean age, and median of the sample [males and females] 7. Compare the performances of BSE and BEED participants. 8. Compare the performance of male and female interns. 9. Rank order the difficulties faced. 10. What are your suggestions to overcome the stated deficiencies? 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thanikachalam Vedhathiri
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Technical Teachers Training and Research, India