

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_97262
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the influence mechanism of intellectual property quality and Economic Development -- A case study of Jiangsu Province
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript discusses the influence mechanism of intellectual property quality and economic development in Jiangsu Province, which is an important topic in the field of economics and intellectual property. The study uses a case study approach to provide insight into the relationship between intellectual property quality and economic development. The findings of the study have implications for policymakers, economists, and researchers interested in understanding the relationship between intellectual property quality and economic development.</li> <li>2. The title "Research on the Influence Mechanism of Intellectual Property Quality and Economic Development -- A Case Study of Jiangsu Province" is suitable as it accurately reflects the focus of the manuscript. However, the title could be more concise and attention-grabbing. For example, a possible alternative title could be "The Impact of Intellectual Property Quality on Economic Development: Insights from Jiangsu Province."</li> <li>3. The abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive and provides a clear overview of the research question, methodology, findings, and implications. The abstract could benefit from including more specific details regarding the study's results and conclusions.</li> <li>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and provide a clear and logical flow of information. The manuscript includes an introduction, literature review, methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion. The structure effectively conveys the research question and approach, as well as the study's findings and implications.</li> <li>5. Overall, the manuscript is scientifically correct and uses appropriate methods and statistical analysis to answer the research question. However, there are some limitations to the study that the authors acknowledge, such as the use of cross-sectional data and the focus on a single province in China. It would be helpful for the authors to discuss these limitations in more detail and provide suggestions for future research.</li> <li>6. The references included in the manuscript are relevant and recent, with the majority published within the last ten years. However, the authors could benefit from including more recent literature on the topic of intellectual property and economic development. Additionally, the authors could consider including references from a wider range of disciplines, such as law and political science, to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the topic.</li> <li>7. Overall, the manuscript provides valuable insights into the relationship between intellectual property quality and economic development in Jiangsu Province. However, there are some areas for improvement, such as refining the title and abstract, discussing study limitations more thoroughly, and including a wider range of references. The references are sufficient and recent, as they include relevant studies published within the last ten years. However, there are some additional references that could be considered, such as recent studies on the effects of patent quality measurements and different debates on different patent quality metrics in the literature.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>This article provides a brief overview of the research article, highlighting the research objectives, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. However, there are a few areas for improvement:</p> <p>Clarity: While the article provides a general idea of the research, some sentences are wordy and difficult to follow. For example, the sentence "The quality of intellectual property rights has a significant positive impact on the economic development of Jiangsu Province, and the more perfect the quality of intellectual property rights, the more conducive it is to the development of the economy" could be simplified to improve clarity and readability.</p> <p>Specificity: The article should provide specific details on the research methods and findings. For</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	<p>example, it would be helpful to know what measures were used to assess the quality of intellectual property rights and how they were analyzed in relation to economic development.</p> <p>Conclusion: The article concludes with a general statement that the research provides "theoretical and practical implications" for intellectual property rights and economic development. However, it does not provide any specific details on these implications or how they might be applied in practice. Overall, while the abstract provides a general overview of the research, it could benefit from more clarity, specificity, and detail.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript claims that there is a causal relationship between intellectual property quality and economic development in Jiangsu Province, but the evidence presented is not strong enough to support this claim. The authors could have used a more robust regression analysis to establish a statistical relationship between these variables, but this does not necessarily prove causation. There could be other factors that are responsible for the observed correlation.</p> <p>The manuscript also suggests that increasing intellectual property quality can lead to economic development, but this claim needs to be supported by more evidence. While there are some studies that suggest that intellectual property protection can lead to innovation and economic growth, there are also studies that suggest that excessive protection can stifle innovation and economic activity. In summary, the manuscript makes some interesting claims about the relationship between intellectual property quality and economic development, but the evidence presented is not strong enough to establish a causal relationship. Further research is needed to better understand the complex relationship between these variables.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Kai Xie
Department, University & Country	University of Nottingham Ningbo China, China