

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_97249
Title of the Manuscript:	Information Communication Technology and Economic Growth in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript is scientifically correct and it's an important topic for recent scenario.</p> <p>Title of the article need some changes. It may be "Information Communication Technology and its impact on the Growth and development of Nigeria"</p> <p>Abstract of the article is comprehensive. Objective of the study, conclusion and major findings should be mentioned in the abstract section. Article should be short and specific. No need to add Review of literature separately. Research methodology should be written more precisely.</p> <p>Manuscript is scientifically correct and have some practical importance.</p> <p>References are sufficient but it must be written in a proper format.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Sentences are correct and grammar has been used properly.	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript can be published after some corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arindam Ghosh
Department, University & Country	Sister Nivedita University, India