

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_96668
Title of the Manuscript:	TOOTH FRAGMENT RE-ATTACHMENT: A Biological Approach
Type of the Article	CASE REPORT

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. I find the subject interesting and useful for the clinical practitioner.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable</p> <p>3. I suggest the abstract to be written in a structured manner. Including sections such as Introduction, Methods, Results, Conclusion.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure seem appropriate</p> <p>5. I believe the review of past literature could be more thorough. With information about recommended adhesives, damp or other materials to improve the technique.</p> <p>6. The references are few.</p> <p><b>Suggestion of additional references:</b> Fernanda Cristina P. Garcia, Déborah L. N. Poubel, Júlio César F. Almeida, Isabela P. Toledo, Wilson R. Poi, Eliete N. S. Guerra, Liliانا V. M. L. Rezende. Tooth fragment reattachment techniques—A systematic review. Dental Traumatology, 2018. Taguchi, CMC., Bernardon, JK., Zimmermann, G., Baratieri, LN. Fragment Reattachment: A Case Report. Oper Dent (2015) 40 (3): 227–23</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	It is suitable	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	None	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	María José Rodríguez
Department, University & Country	Universidad Latina de Costa Rica, Costa Rica