

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_96650
Title of the Manuscript:	One-Step Apexification by Platelet-Rich Fibrin and Biodentin- A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy> )

<b>PART 1: Review Comments</b>		
	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory REVISION</u> comments</b></p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>It is an important topic for the community</p> <p><b>An alternative to Apexification by Platelet-Rich Fibrin and Biodentin- A Case Report</b></p> <p>The abstract need to be improved</p> <p>Yes they are</p> <p>Need to be improved if the authors want to publish it</p> <p>References are old</p> <p>Explain the aim, methodology and give a shap conclusion</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</b></p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Major revision. Improve each part and references</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b></p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jorge Paredes Vieyra</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Autonoma de Baja California, Mexico</b>