

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_96633
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of some promising cotton genotypes and commercial variety for Yield, Quality and Bacterial Blight Resistance
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbge.com/index.php/AJBGE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, this study was carried out to evaluate cotton genotypes and this promising strain may be recommended to be grown in specified region with excellent seed cotton yield, better quality and bacterial blight resistance.</p> <p>yes yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes, recent reference can be updated in the manuscript. References: It should be made strictly as per Instructions to Authors.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, suggested smart tools like 1 checker, ginger, Grammarly, white smoke can be used for Grammatical and punctuation errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors have summarized an extensive list, so I congratulate them on compiling data. It would have been if the authors had laid out guiding principles for designing such stuff. Please consider my comments concerning attention to detail herewith. The results discussion section also needs to deepen the discussion. In the conclusion section of this paper, the author does not point out the shortcomings of the current work and the future prospects. It is recommended that the authors summarize and outlook. Equation tools should be used for formula/equation writing.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajwant Kaur
Department, University & Country	Chandigarh University, India