

Karyology study of six cytotypes of *Vicia* L. in Tehran Province, Iran

Abstract

The genus *Vicia* L. is an important forage crop and consists of approximately 160 annual and perennial species. This genus has variety in phenotypic characters. In this study, six genotypes of this taxon was chosen to determine the chromosome numbers, ploidy levels and to compare the karyotypic traits. Based on the results, the basic chromosome number varied from $x=5$, $x=6$ and $x=7$. According to Stebbins classification, species were classified as symmetric class of 3A. Based on intra chromosomal symmetry, *V. villosa* Roth. had the most asymmetrical and evolutionary karyotype. *V. cordata* (Wulf. ex Hoppe) Arcangeli, Comp. had the most symmetrical karyotype. The highest distance was observed between these two species using cluster analysis.

Keywords: Chromosome, Cytology, Karyotype, Fabaceae, Vetch

1. INTRODUCTION

“Fabaceae is one of the third-largest family of flowering plants. It includes many agriculturally genus. It includes trees, shrubs, and perennial or annual herbaceous plants, which are easily recognized by their fruit (legume). The family is widely distributed and is the third-largest land plant family in number of species. Vetch (*Vicia* Linnaeus, 1753) with more than 160 species, located in Europe, Asia and North America, in the temperate regions of South America and Tropical Africa” [1,2,3,4]. Mediterranean region is center of variability of the genus *Vicia* L. [5].

“The genus is characterized by a style pubescent all around, or bear on presence of the adaxial side, never twisted and stem non-winged. Many species such as *V. sativa* L., *V. cracca* L. and *V. narbonensis* L. have high protein fodder plants for several animals. Also they play an important role in environment of soil, increasing the nutritive value of drought-resistant pasture” [6]. “This genus has been subdivided into three or four major groups recognized as different levels. Kupicha in 1976, classified this genus into two subgenera: *Vicilla* (Schur.) Rouy (including 17 sections) and *Vicia* (including five sections). The basic chromosome numbers reported in *Vicia* are $x=5, 6$ and 7 . Most of the species studied are diploids with $2n=2x= 10, 12, 14$; however a number of tetra- and hexaploids were also reported” [7,8,9,10]. The speciation in this genus is accompanying by variation in chromosome size and hybridization is common in the genus [11]. “The karyotypic variability between *Vicia* species, which makes the genus an interesting model for the study of plant genome and karyotype evolution” [7,12,13]. The present study considers a mitotic analysis of six species of *Vicia* selected from Tehran province in Iran and try to reveal the chromosome numbers, shape, size and karyotypic evolution to determine the best taxa for crop breeding and marketing programs in this genus.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant and seed materials were collected from natural habits (Table 1). Seeds of six *Vicia* species including *V. sativa*; *V. ervilia*, *V. hyrcanica* , *V. villosa* Roth, *V. cordata* L. and *V. peregrina* L. were germinated on wet filter paper in Petri dishes and left at 22° C temperature for three days. Root tip meristems obtained from seedling were pretreated in 8- hydroxyl- quinalin (2 Mm) at 4° C for five h, fixed in 1:1 (v/v) solution of formalin 10%and chromic acid 1%for 24 h at 4°C, then root

tips were rinsed for 3 h in distilled water and stored in 70% ethanol at 4°C. “For hydrolyzing, the root tips were treated NaOH 1N for 10 min at 60°C and stained with aceto-iron-hematoxin solution for 4 h at 30°C. After each step, root tips were washed briefly in distilled water. Meristematic region with 1 mm of length excised and macerated in cytase enzyme at room temperature for 1 h. Squash preparations on slides were made in 45% acetic acid” [13]. “Chromosome measurements including long arm (LA), short arm (SA), total length of chromosome set (TL), arm ratio (AR) and centromeric index (CI) were made from 15 and 10 enlarged well-spread metaphases, for karyotype analysis in each species, using Micromer software. Karyotype asymmetry was estimated by three different methods namely, total form percentage (TF%) $[\sum S/\sum TL) \times 100]$; difference of relative length (DRL) $[\text{Max RL}\% - \text{Min RL}\%]$; intrachromosomal asymmetry index (A1) $[1 - \sum(S/l)/n]$ and interchromosomal asymmetry index (A2) $[Sd/x]$. Both indices (A1 and A2) are independent to chromosome number and size. Also karyotype evolution has been determined using the symmetry classes of Stebbins” [15]. “Karyotype formula was determined by chromosome morphology based on centromere position according to classification of Levan” [16]. Karyograms were drawn based on length of chromosome size. Clustering was performed using UPGMA method to examine karyotype similarity among species.

Table1- Populations and their localities


Population	Locality
<i>V. sativa</i>	Tehran: Karaj, 1350 m
<i>V. cordata</i>	Tehran: Damavand, 2100 m
<i>T. villosa</i>	Tehran: Lavasanat, 1400 m
<i>T. hyrcanica</i>	Tehran: Damavand, 1000 m

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Karyological data

The results showed that all of examined species are diploid and the basic chromosome numbers are $x=5$, $x=6$ and $x=7$. The karyotypes of species are illustrated in Table 2. The mean value of long arm was varied from $3.60\ \mu\text{m}$ in *V. ervilia* to $5.42\ \mu\text{m}$ in *V. villosa*. The average of short was different from $1.95\ \mu\text{m}$ in *V. ervilia* to $3.16\ \mu\text{m}$ in *V. cordata*. The mean value of total length of chromosome was varied from $34.52\ \mu\text{m}$ in *V. cordata* to $52.76\ \mu\text{m}$ in *V. villosa* and finally the mean value of arm ratio was changing from 1.15 in *V. cordata* to 2.56 in *V. villosa*. The chromosome in these species were mostly submetacentric to metacentric, in such as manner that chromosomes in *V. cordata* were metacentric and in other species were composing of metacentric and submetacentric. Symmetry types of Stebbin given in Tab 2. In terms of the Stebbins system, the karyotype of species mostly sizes 3A class, which are considered majorly primitive classes in this system. Intrachromosomal asymmetry index (A1) expresses the arm ratio of each pair of homologous chromosomes. The interchromosomal asymmetry index (A2) corresponds to Pearson's coefficient of dispersion and gives an idea of the asymmetry caused by the different length of the chromosomes. By using the Romero-Zarco, asymmetric indices of A1 and A2, we can determine the more asymmetric karyotype among the species which have the Stebbins classes of symmetry. In *V. cordata* possesses the lowest A1 value (0.83) and that DRL value

was 8.28, therefore has a more symmetric karyotype and *V. villosa* possessed the highest A1 value (0.95), so has a more asymmetric karyotype. These results also showed the lowest value of A2 in range 0.36 – 0.64 and the highest value of TF% ranged from 28.01 to 45.85. In general, on intrachromosomal symmetry (A1 and TF%), *V. villosa* karyotype had the most asymmetrical and evolutionary and *V. cordata* had the most symmetrical karyotype in these six species. According to interchromosomal asymmetry (A1 and DRL), *V. villosa* had the most asymmetrical karyotype in all of these species (Table 2). Asymmetry index TF% ranged from 28.01 to 45.85. Grouping of the species are studied based on their relative karyotypic as well as mitotic characteristics (Table 2; Figure 1,2).






Figure 1- Mitotic metaphases of six *Vicia* species. Bars = 5 μ m.

1a- *V. cordata*, 1b- *V. peregrina*, 1c- *V. vilosa*, 1d- *V. sativa*, 1e- *V. ervilia*, 1f- *V. hyrcanica*

Table 2- Karyotype characteristics of six *Vicia* species

Species	2n	LA	SA	TL	AR	r- value	CI	DRL	TF%	S%	A1	A2	KF
V. cor	10	3.73	3.16	34.52	1.18	0.84	2.27	<u>8.28</u>	45.85	60.62	<u>0.83</u>	0.63	5m
V. vil	14	5.42	2.11	52.76	2.56	0.38	1.86	<u>11.5</u>	28.01	25.3	<u>0.95</u>	0.36	1m+2sm+4st
V. hydr	12	4.32	2.18	39.04	1.98	0.5	1.96	8.72	33.52	29.92	0.92	0.45	1m+4sm+1st
V. per	12	4.58	3.06	45.96	1.49	0.66	2.4	9.38	40.03	36.37	0.89	0.39	4m+2sm
V. sat	14	4.05	2.4	45.23	1.68	0.59	2.54	9.86	37.23	42.03	0.92	0.64	4m+2sm+1st
V. erv	14	3.6	1.95	38.95	1.84	0.54	2.54	8.91	35.12	20.38	0.93	0.54	2m+5sm

Abbreviation: V. cor= *V. cordata*; V. hydr= *V. hyrcanica*; V. per= *V. peregrina*; V. sat= *V. sativa*; V. erv=

V. ervilia





Figure 2- Karyogram of six *Vicia* species. 1a- *V. cordata*, 1b- *V. villosa*, 1c- *V. hyrcanica*, 1d- *V. peregrina*, 1e- *V. sativa*, 1f- *V. ervilia*





Figure 2- continued





Figure 2- continued

Cluster analysis

Cluster analysis using by the average linkage method classified the species into two main groups. The highest distance was obtained between *V. cordata* and *V. villosa* and the lowest distance was obtained between *V. hyrcanica* and *V. villosa* (Figure 3).




Figure 3- Dendrogram of six species of *Vicia* by analyzing 13 karyotypic parameters using average linkage cluster analysis method: 1- *V. cordata*; 2- *V. sativa*; 3- *V. peregrina*; 4- *V. ervilia*; 5- *V. hyrcanica*; 6- *V. villosa*

Goldblatt (1981) suggested $x=14$ as the basic number for the subfamily Faboideae, $x=7$ for the tribe *Vicieae* and $x=6$, $x=7$ for the genus *Vicia* [17]. It showed that in forage legumes the main basic chromosome is ($x=5$ to $x=8$). Numerous reports have shown that the most frequent basic chromosome number for *Vicia* genus are $x=5$, $x=6$ and $x=7$. [18,4,6,11, 12,17,18]. Most of species in this genus are diploids while only six of them are polyploids. Analysis of karyotype formula showed that, generally, the number of “sm” chromosomes was more than “m” chromosomes except for *V. cordata* and *V. sativa*. Hanlet and Mettin (1989) reported that meta and submetacentric chromosomes are the dominant chromosome forms in the subgenus *Vicilla*. Also, our result is agreement with Gaffarzadeh *et al.* about other species in this genus [20]. “*V. villosa* had the highest A1 value, exhibiting the most asymmetrical and intrachromosomally derived karyotype, while *V. cordata* was introduced as the most symmetrical karyotype (Table 1). In view of the fact that, fewer DRL value illustrated more symmetry of karyotype. *V. villosa* and *V. cordata* respectively with DRL 11.51 and 8.28 values had the most asymmetry and symmetry karyotypes, respectively. Similarly, high DRL value leads to more changes in the construction of chromosomes. Plitman, 1967; Schaffer, 1973, noted that speciation process in *Vicia* is accompanied by karyotype differentiation of chromosome number” [21,22]. Chromosome changes may have contributed towards the erection of interspecific hybridization barriers in this plant group [23,24,25, 26,27,28]. Grouping based on karyotypic parameters indicated that *V. cordata* was located far from other species, specially from *V.villosa* and *V. hyrcanica*. This study based on cytological data showed that the species with the lowest metric distance, may lead us to use species in crosses for including the highest genetic variations (Figure 3).

Conclusion

The current study revealed that the change in the chromosomal traits as one of the mechanisms of inter and intra species diversification in the *Vicia* genus as well as the earlier cytological reports. The asymmetric indices and variations in karyotype formula among the species imply that chromosome structural changes may have aided in the genus' diversification. It is possible to use these genomic variations for breeding.

1. Salimpour MH, Davachi F, Salimpour F. Investigation of agromorphological characters between 34 accessions of *Trifolium resupinatum* L.. Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research. 2022; 20(4): 10-16.
2. Kupicha FK. 1976. The infrageneric structure of *Vicia*. Notes of the Royal Botanical Garden, Edinburgh. 1976; 34, 287- 326.
3. Allkin, R., Goyder, D.J., Bisby, F.A., White, R.J. Names and synonyms of species subspecies in the *Vicieae*. 1986; United Kingdom: University of Southampton, (Chapter 3).
4. Maxted, N. An ecogeographical study of *Vicia* subgenus *Vicia*. Systematic and ecogeographic studies on crop gene pools. 1995; International Plant Genetic Resources Institute.
5. Zohary, D. and Hopi, M. Domestication of pulses in the old world. Science. 1973; 182, 887-894.
6. Sevimay, C.S., Guloglu, D. and Khawar, K.M. Karyotypic analysis of eight Turkish Vetch (*Vicia sativa* L.) cultivars. Pakistan Journal of Botany, 2005; 37(2), 313-317.

7. Hanlet, P. and Mettin, D. Biosystematics of the genus *Vicia* L. (Leguminosae). Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics. 1989; 20, 199-223.
8. Jalilian, N. and Rhahiminejad, M.R. Karyotype analysis and new chromosome number reports for nine *Vicia* species in Iran. Rostaniha. 2012; 13(2), 215-220.
9. Arsalaan E., Ertuğrul K., Öztürk A. B.. Karyological studies of some species of the genus *Vicia* L. (Leguminosae) in Turkey. Carologia. 2012; 65(2): 106-113.
10. Osman S.A., Ali H. B., El-Ashry Z. M., El-Khodary S. E. Karyotype variation and biochemical analysis of five *Vicia* species. Bulletin of the National Research Centre. 2022; 44(91): 1-8.
11. Navratilova, A., Neumann, P. and Macas, J. Karyotype analysis of four *Vicia* species using *in situ* hybridization with repetitive sequences. Annals of Botany. 2003; 91, 921-926.
12. Meric, C. and Dane, F. Karyological studies on *Vicia sativa* L. subsp. *incise* (Bieb.) Arc. var. *incise*. Turkish Journal of Botany. 1999; 23, 63-67.
13. Benneth, M.D. and Leitch, I.J. Angiosperm DNA C- value database. 1998; [online] Available: [http:// www.rgbkew.org.uk/ cval/ homepage.html](http://www.rgbkew.org.uk/cval/homepage.html).
14. Aghayev, Y M. Advanced squash methods for investigation of plant chromosomes. Pp. 1-20. In: Proceedings of the 4th Iranian Congress of Crop Sciences. 1998; Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.
15. Stebbins, G.L. Chromosomal evolution in higher plants. 1971; Edward Arnold Publisher, London, Ltd 216 p.
16. Levan, A.K/, Fredga, K., Sandberg, A.A. Nomenclature for centromeric position on chromosomes. Heredity. 1964; 52: 201- 220.

17. Goldblatt, P. Cytology and the phylogeny of Leguminosae. In: Polhill R. M., Raven, P. H., eds. *Advances in Legume systematics*. Part 2. Kew: Royal Botanic Gardens. 1981; pp: 427-463.
18. Cremonini, R., Funari, S. and Mazzuca, S. (1992). Cytology of *Vicia* species: nuclear structure, karyological analysis and DNA content. *Chromatin*. 1992; 1: 135- 146.
19. Sahin, A. and Babag, M.T. Cytotaxonomic investigations on *Vicia* and *Lathyrus* (Leguminosae) in Rio Grande do Sul (Southern Brazil); cytogenetics of native, naturalized and exotic species. *Brazilian Journal of Genetics*. 1990; 17, 313- 319.
20. Gaffarzadeh, L., Badrzadeh, M. and Asghari- Zakaria, R. Karyotype of several *Vicia* species from Iran. *Asian Journal of Plant Science*. 2008; 7, 417- 420.
21. Plitmann, U. Biosystematical study in the annual species of *Vicia* of the Middle East. 1967. The Hebrew University of Jerusalem.
22. Schaffer, H.L. Zur Taxonomie der *Vicia narbonensis* Gruppe. *Kulturpflanze*. 1973; 21, 211-273.
23. Martin, P.G. and Shank, R. (1966). Does *Vicia faba* have multistranded chromosomes. *Nature*. 1966; 211, 650-651.
24. Raina, S.N. and Rees, H. DNA variation between and within chromosome complements of *Vicia* species. *Heredity*. 1983; 51, 335-346.
25. Tabur, S., Semsettin, C. and Eyüp, B. Cytotaxonomic studies on some *Vicia* L. species growing in eastern Mediterranean and southern Aegean regions, I. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*. 2000; 148(2): 159-174.
26. Seahee, H. Raveendar, S. , XiaoHan, W. Kyung Jun, L. , Gyu-Taek, C., Do Yoon, H. yun and Jong-Wook, C. Identification of *Vicia* Species Native to South Korea Using Molecular and Morphological Characteristics. *Front. Plant Sci*. 2021; 12: 1- 20.

27. Wu, F. F., Gao, Q., Liu, F., Wang, Z., Wang, J. L., and Wang, X. G. DNA barcoding evaluation of *Vicia* (Fabaceae): comparative efficacy of six universal barcode loci on abundant species. *J. Syst. Evol.* 2020; 58: 77–88.
28. Hamidi, F., Karimzadeh, G., Monfared, S. R., and Salehi, M. Assessment of Iranian endemic *Artemisia khorassanica*: karyological, genome size, and gene expressions involved in artemisinin production. *Turk. J. Biol.* 2018; 42: 329–340.

UNDER PEER REVIEW