

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_97645
Title of the Manuscript:	GENERAL MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THANJAVUR BLACK GOAT FARMERS OF TAMIL NADU
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, gives suggestions to improve goat management Yes Rewrite the abstract in structured form Yes Yes Yes, In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Revise as per suggestions given. Conclusion should be concise and representative of the work.	
Optional/General comments	Add tables in text at suitable place, Mention table no. 1 in text under result and discussion. Abstract should be structured, Include yellow highlighted sentences and words and remove red marked words.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prafullakumar Vasantrya Patil
Department, University & Country	College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, MAFSU, India