

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_97322
Title of the Manuscript:	Problems of Scheduled Caste Co-operative Societies and their Members - A Qualitative Approach
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This research is important for the academic community because it examines cooperatives with qualitative research methods that present members and management of cooperatives as research informants. So, it is hoped that the data obtained is valid data. Alternative title: Problems and Solutions of Registered Caste Cooperative Associations and Their Members - Qualitative Approach</p> <p>The abstract should be accompanied by results and research suggestions, both theoretical suggestions, practical suggestions, and suggestions for future research.</p> <p>The sub-sections and the structure of the manuscript are sufficiently appropriate.</p> <p>I think the text is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Insufficient references although some are up to date.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article is quite suitable for scientific communication	
Optional/General comments	This article should be equipped with strategic solutions proposed by researchers, based on the results of exploring the problems faced by research objects.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christina Esti Susanti
Department, University & Country	Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University, Indonesia