

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_96808
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE LEVEL AND ADOPTION BEHAVIOUR OF FARMERS TOWARDS ORGANIC FARMING PRACTICES IN MOKOKCHUNG DISTRICT OF NAGALAND
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The topic addressed in this manuscript is of national importance. Soil health is a national issue and government initiatives are also there to promote organic farming, although large scale success is debatable. The manuscript address one such important issues, knowledge and adoption of organic practices among the organic growers. Thus the topic is relevant to the present day agriculture system.</p> <p>2. The Title of the article is suitable</p> <p>3. Yes, it is comprehensive and includes major findings.</p> <p>4. The structure is appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript needs a lots of revision, specially in interpreting the results. If not interpreted properly, the article will fail to serve the purpose of the work conducted.</p> <p>6. The author might add a few references in support of his/her work. Like citing previous works that prompted him/her to take up this study.</p> <p>Major Revisions: The author is advised to go through the suggestions and corrections asked for. The results section requires discussion of the results obtained which is completely lacking.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The language is okay, although scope of improvement is enough.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The author is suggested to make the necessary corrections. Hopefully the comments will help him/her to upgrade the manuscript in a better way.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anwasha Mandal
Department, University & Country	School of Agriculture Sciences, GD Goenka University, India