

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_96754 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Spatio-Temporal Changes in Cropping Pattern in the State of Rajasthan |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes. "A number of agricultural development plans gave rise to institutional and technological changes in the foodgrains production in the country. " A number of agricultural development plans gave rise to institutional and technological changes in the foodgrains production in the country. "Though the share of agriculture sector has been declining or stagnant over time, it still remains a predominant sector in respect of labour absorption." The agricultural sector and other countries use technology for planting and collection, so the applied human labor is very specialized and limited. That's why unemployment is rising. 2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes. 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes, 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes, has a standard structure 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes. 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes <p><u>reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 'Agricultural development of India has been agitating the minds of Indian politicians, the policy makers, the planners, the agricultural scientists and farmers since independence". It must be rewritten to: India's agricultural development has emboldened the Indian political class, policy makers, planners, agricultural scientists and farmers since independence. 2. In general, the introduction should be rewritten and better detail policy changes over the study period. 'Agricultural development was not uniform throughout the state. A number of empirical studies conducted at the state/regional level have shown that the area, production and productivity of some crops have increased over time whereas some other registered a decline while a few have stagnated over time and space. The increase in area might be due to bringing in new area under cultivation and/or shifting of area from one crop to another". Net sentence describes that the development of agriculture was not uniform, cite reasons for this uniformity 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | |

Review Form 1.7

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| | <p>Delimit the study area or which area is analyzed. A situation map of the areas analyzed in the study would be preferable.</p> <p>Delimit the study area or which area is analyzed. A situation map of the areas analyzed in the study would be preferable. And it makes it clear which database the data for the study was obtained from.</p> <p>4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</p> <p>The manuscript does not discuss, it only presents the results. The authors can discuss why the areas under cultivation changed in the analyzed periods and discuss how this variation interferes in agricultural policies at the micro level.</p> <p>And restructure the presentation of results to a more visual form, because only in text it is not fluent and interesting</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>1 Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The proposal of the manuscript is interesting, but the way it is presented is not in accordance with the proposed objectives. I suggest to the authors review the text to meet their objectives.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name: | Josiane Pinheiro Farias |
| Department, University & Country | Federal University of Pelotas, Brazil |