

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_96590
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges and Prospects of Agricultural Entrepreneurs in Zuru Metroplis Area of Kebbi State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The paper provides a descriptive and quantitative overview of perceptions about entrepreneurship in agriculture that can contribute to the state of knowledge on the subject in specific contexts. So, it is a valuable input to develop the subject from an empirical perspective. 2) The title is not entirely congruent with the contents offered in the paper since it indicates a descriptive process, but in paragraph 2 it refers to a purpose of the study that is to test a hypothesis of relationship between variables. It will be useful to consider placing in the title that is intended to test this relation of variables. 3) The summary should be reworked with only the context, objectives, hypotheses, methodology, techniques and main results. The summary initially offered focuses on describing the characteristics of the sample, which is irrelevant in this document space. It should be noted in the summary that this is an exploratory descriptive exercise. 4) The form of structuring of the writing is adequate. Although in the section "Results and discussion", the results are not discussed enough. 5) A descriptive, exploratory exercise is scientifically correct to be offered to the public. 6) References are not recent. More than 90% of references have been published for more than 10 years. In reference number 2 and 3, the web link does not lead to the resource described. Reference 7 is not prepared according to the instructions of the journal. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language is clear and understandable.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The conclusions of the document are not entirely consistent with the purpose set out in the letter since what is referred to in paragraph 2 is to demonstrate a null hypothesis between variables, but the conclusions do not indicate the result achieved from that exercise. They should be reformulated in accordance with the title, summary and objectives.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carlos Mejía Reyes
Department, University & Country	Universidad Pedagógica Nacional, México