

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_96530
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on Socio-economic Status of Tomato Growers in Solan District of Himachal Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It is important for scientific community and for policy makers</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>In the abstract should be highlighted the conclusion and the possible contribution of the paper.</p> <p>The methodology applied is correct. However more discussion is recommended</p> <p>The references are recent, however neither of them is cited in the text (except Land Use Statistics. 2018)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The paper should be revised by native speaker.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the conclusions are mentioned development and education (training) programmes and that one of the contributions of this paper will be to provide the policy makers with socio-economic data to create such programmes for the tomato growers. However, it is necessary to provide some additional information for the reader on this topic. Are there existing programmes? Are these efficient? Or the lack of data on socio-economic status of the tomato growers makes them inefficient? Is this the first time to introduce such programmes? Similar programmes exist in other regions of India or for different kind of farmers? Please explain the term "bigha" in <u>hectars or sq meters</u>.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antonina Ivanova Boncheva
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma de Baja California Sur, Mexico