

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_96039
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemicals, Antioxidants and Glycemic Index Assessment of Lablab purpureus(Lablab Bean) and Phaseolus lunatus(Lima Bean) Seeds
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	It is important with mainly related the world problem of food security and prevention and treatment of some diseases Suitable Needs some correction The methods and conclusion need to be rewritten seriously Scientifically it is correct The references are not as needed as recent but sufficient and requires minor corrections in consistency of writing	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable language communication	
Optional/General comments	Too long paragraph's must be decreased, repetitions of ideas and words must be checked and consistency in writing units and references..	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wondimagegne Mamo Mengistu
Department, University & Country	Bio and Emerging Technology Institute, Ethiopia