

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research.</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_97106
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF PRESSURE, CONCENTRATION AND SLUDGE VOLUME ON TWO-PHASE FILTRATE VOLUMES
Type of the Article	Research article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	The manuscript deal with the effect of three parameters (pressure, solids concentration and volume of slurry) on total and two-phase filtrate volumes, in order to establish the optimum conditions for routine laboratory filtration. Researchers used the experimental design method for collecting and using data to identify causal relationships. The title, the abstract and the whole structure are suitable. However, the distribution of figures in the way used makes it difficult to follow the text. In my opinion, it is better to collect the images in one page, since they do not need to be the size that they are. A more references are needed in some place. And statistical section should be added.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, however, need to be improved.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Jaber
Department, University & Country	Lebanese University, Lebanon