

# EFFECT OF PRESSURE, CONCENTRATION AND SLUDGE VOLUME ON TWO-PHASE FILTRATE VOLUMES

## ABSTRACT

The effect of pressure, solids concentration and volume of slurry on total and two-phase filtrate volumes was investigated to establish optimum conditions for routine laboratory filtration. Full factorial design with three levels was utilized to obtain 27 unique experiments. The slurry used was prepared by mixing crushed and sieved bentonite clay of 75 microns with distilled water at different concentrations as obtained from the design of experiment. A filter press was utilized and the results were used to calibrate a two-phase exponential equation for sludge filtration to extract the first and second stage filtrate volumes. The total filtrate volume improved with increasing applied pressure and decreasing solids concentration. A slurry volume of 0.22 litres was found to be ideal. Similar effects were noticed on the first and second stage filtrate volume except that an optimum was discovered at 0.18 litres of slurry. The second stage filtration produced an upward curve with a point of inflection at a range of 0.18 to 0.22 litres of slurry. The second stage filtrate volume is also discovered to be directly proportional to the total filtrate volume. This connection may be used to assess the filterability of different slurries.

**Key words:** Pressure, Solids concentration, first stage, second stage, filterability.

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Accurate determination of the filterability of sludge, as well as translation of experimental results to full scale operation, have been quite difficult. Generally, activated sludge is known to be hard to dewater and many times exhibits filtration behaviour that are non-traditional [1]. Large-scale filters are employed in diverse processes treating annually millions of tons of minerals, chemicals, and liquid wastes [2-4]. The most common laboratory procedures for quantifying sludge dewaterability are capillary suction time (CST) [5] and specific resistance to filtration (SRF). Experimental tools, such as the Buchner funnel test and the filter leaf test, have limited application, and can be used only for establishing empirical relationships. This does not mean that the Buchner funnel and the filter leaf are not useful tools. But with neither of these is it possible to establish parameters independent of nearly all variables, which could be used in comparing sludge or in quantitatively evaluating the effects of significant forces on a given sludge. Such a parameter

has been suggested by Coackley and Jones [6], who have used the concept of specific resistance of Carman's [7] theory of filtration.

Among the characteristics of sludge which affect filtration are: Size, shape, charge of the solid particles, compressibility of solid particles, viscosity of filtrate and of sludge chemical composition, solids concentration dissolved and suspended Solid Particles.

The size, shape, and density of the solid particles of sludge affect filter ability by virtue of the role they play in compaction and in requirements of coagulating chemicals. Irregularly shaped and sized, or small particles tend to form a compact mat under vacuum, leaving a small ratio of voids for migration of liquid. Regularly shaped and sized, or large particles, on the other hand, allow for a high ratio of voids. It has been shown [8] that the smallest particles of the sludge exercise the greatest coagulating chemical demand per unit weight of solids. Garber [9] found that the particle size of elutriated sludge after thermophilic digestion was greater than after mesophilic digestion. Although many conditioning agents have been used with varying degrees of success, lime and ferric chloride are the most commonly used chemicals [10]. Ademiluyi and Egbuniwe [11] reported that mixing of conditioners at varying percentages has proved effective in lowering the specific resistance of the domestic sludge tested.

The fact that an increase in concentration produces an increase in cake production has long been known. Schepman and Cornell [12] have shown experimentally that the relationship of feed concentration to filter rate is linear and in some cases in direct proportion. This phenomenon is readily understandable when viewed from the standpoint that with more concentrated sludges less filtrate volume has to be removed per pound of filter cake deposited. Torpey and Lang [13] successfully employed elutriation for the sole purpose of thickening digested sludge from a modified activated sludge plant, more than doubling the solids concentration from 2.5 to 5.9 percent. Laboratory experiments are usually carried out before full scale operations are done, to determine the relationship between variables. All of the aforementioned researchers undoubtedly

aimed to identify various elements that can influence the filtering process, while also taking optimization into account.

Amah and Ademiluyi [14] developed a two-phase exponential model for sludge filtration. This filtration model gave rise to two major filtration parameters which are first stage flow volume and second stage flow volume. This is shown as Equation (1)

$$V_t = V_0 - \left\{ \left[ \frac{k_3 V_{(0)1}}{k_2 - k_1} + V_{(0)2} \right] e^{-k_2 t} - \left[ \frac{k_3 V_{(0)1}}{k_2 - k_1} + V_{(0)1} \right] e^{-k_1 t} \right\} \quad (1)$$

where  $V_t$  is the volume at any time  $t$  (mins),  $V_0$  is the initial volume to be moisture to be filtered,  $V_{(0)1}$  and  $V_{(0)2}$  are the moisture contents available for the first phase and second phase filtration and  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  are rate constants. The two key components identified by the Amah and Ademiluyi [14] model are the result of the interaction of all the elements that can have an impact on the filtering process. Response surface approach is used in this study to examine how the first and second stage filtration volumes derived from Equation (1) are affected by the applied pressure, solids concentration, and volume being filtered.

## 2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Design of Experiment

Three variables were considered during the filtration experiment. They are Pressure (P), solids concentration (S) and volume of slurry (V). Xlstat 2014 was used to determine the Experimental Design using a full factorial design with 3 levels. This is shown as Table 1. A total of 27 different experiments were obtained from the design of experiment to be carried out. Each setup or run order represents varying pressure, concentration of solids and slurry volume. For each run order, 30 min. was used as the sampling time.

**Table 1:** Design of Experiment for Sludge filtration.

Observation	Sort order	Run order	Repetition	Pressure (psi)	Conc. (g/l)	Volume (litres)
-------------	------------	-----------	------------	----------------	-------------	-----------------

Obs1	1	1	1	20	30	0.1
Obs2	2	2	1	60	30	0.1
Obs3	3	3	1	100	30	0.1
Obs4	4	4	1	20	65	0.1
Obs5	5	5	1	60	65	0.1
Obs6	6	6	1	100	65	0.1
Obs7	7	7	1	20	100	0.1
Obs8	8	8	1	60	100	0.1
Obs9	9	9	1	100	100	0.1
Obs10	10	10	1	20	30	0.2
Obs11	11	11	1	60	30	0.2
Obs12	12	12	1	100	30	0.2
Obs13	13	13	1	20	65	0.2
Obs14	14	14	1	60	65	0.2
Obs15	15	15	1	100	65	0.2
Obs16	16	16	1	20	100	0.2
Obs17	17	17	1	60	100	0.2
Obs18	18	18	1	100	100	0.2
Obs19	19	19	1	20	30	0.3
Obs20	20	20	1	60	30	0.3
Obs21	21	21	1	100	30	0.3
Obs22	22	22	1	20	65	0.3
Obs23	23	23	1	60	65	0.3
Obs24	24	24	1	100	65	0.3
Obs25	25	25	1	20	100	0.3
Obs26	26	26	1	60	100	0.3
Obs27	27	27	1	100	100	0.3

## 2.2 Slurry Formulation

Bentonite clay was first pulverized and sieved using a standard sieve set with a target diameter of 75 microns. The set of sieves were shaken until clay particle could not pass the 75 micron sieve and the retained sample was stored. Sludge was formulated using the required quantities from Table 1 with bentonite clay of 75 microns dissolved in distilled water. 2 grams of Guar gum was added to each mixture to improve its gel properties. The formulated slurry was left for 16 hours for the bentonite clay to be properly hydrated. The advantage of this is so that physical and chemical properties of the sludge can be varied for the purpose of this research.

## 2.3 Filtration procedure

A filter press was used in the filtration process. The filter press maximum carrying capacity was 350 ml and the maximum filter pressure was 100 psi. Specially hardened filter paper, 3.5 inches in diameter was used for the filtration process. For each observation, the experiment was allowed to run for a time period of 30 minutes. During this time, the filtrate volume at every 2 mins. interval was read and recorded.

### 3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The different concentrations as outlined in Table 1 were used to formulate the sludge and its specific gravity was determined with a mud balance and shown as Table 2.

**Table 2:** Specific gravity of slurry with varying solids concentration

30 g/l				65 g/l				100 g/l			
sp	$\frac{lb}{ft^3}$	ppg	$\frac{psi}{1000ft}$	sp	$\frac{lb}{ft^3}$	ppg	$\frac{psi}{1000ft}$	sp	$\frac{lb}{ft^3}$	ppg	$\frac{psi}{1000ft}$
0.97	61	8.1	420	0.99	62	8.25	430	1.02	64	8.5	440

For the 27 filtration parameter variations, the filtration process was carried out and filtrate volumes were measured with the results shown as Table 3. The first and second stage filtrate volumes were extracted from Equation (1) after calibration with the experimental data. This is also shown in Table 3. As presented in Table 3 after 30 min. of filtration, the minimum filtrate volume was observed to be 6.2 ml and this occurred at run order 7. This setup had the least pressure value of 20 psi and slurry volume of 0.1 litres as well as the maximum solids concentration of 100 g/l. The minimum occurred at run order 7 because at low applied pressure levels, the fluid flow cannot easily overcome the resistance offered by the cake being deposited as filtration progresses. The slurry volume also influenced the final filtrate level, because at low slurry volume, the moisture content is lower and the solid particles are easily deposited onto the filter media as the slurry height is also small. The concentration of solids significantly influences the formation of filter cake on the filter


medium. Filter cake will be formed quickly and grow faster due to more solid particles being deposited on the filter medium because of the high solids concentration in the slurry. This agrees with the work of Ademiluyi and Eze [15] where it was also observed that the specific resistance increases as the solid content increases. Therefore, as more solid particles are deposited, the pressure on them increases and the void ratio decreases which reduces the volume of filtrate that passes through the cake per time. The work of Schepman and Cornell [12] also shows experimentally that a linear relationship exists between the feed concentration to filter rate and in some cases in direct proportion. It is also likely that due to high solids concentration, during filtration, sedimentation may also force more solids particles to be deposited onto the filter medium. On the other hand, the maximum filtrate volume was observed to be 19.8 ml and this occurred at run order 21. This setup had an applied pressure value of 100 psi, solids concentration of 30 g/l and volume of slurry of 0.3 litres. This high value of applied pressure means that the filter cake will offer lesser resistance to fluid flow due to an increase in driving pressure. The lower the solids concentration the fewer solids particle available to form filter cake, this will result to a much thinner cake yield. The large slurry volume ensures that there is liquid available in the system to be collected as filtrate, hence the high filtrate volume observed.

**Table 3:** Filtrate volume at varying filtration parameters.


<b>RUN ORDER</b>	<b>Pressure (psi)</b>	<b>Concentration (g/l)</b>	<b>Volume (l)</b>	<b>Filtrate volume (ml) at 30mins</b>	<b>V<sub>1</sub></b>	<b>V<sub>2</sub></b>
<b>Run 1</b>	20	30	0.1	9.8	0.921	8.879

<b>Run 2</b>	60	30	0.1	16.2	0.516	15.684
<b>Run 3</b>	100	30	0.1	17.8	1.316	16.484
<b>Run 4</b>	20	65	0.1	7.4	0.454	6.946
<b>Run 5</b>	60	65	0.1	12.2	0.744	11.456
<b>Run 6</b>	100	65	0.1	17.4	1.018	16.382
<b>Run 7</b>	20	100	0.1	6.2	0.195	6.005
<b>Run 8</b>	60	100	0.1	11	0.600	10.400
<b>Run 9</b>	100	100	0.1	14	0.777	13.223
<b>Run 10</b>	20	30	0.2	11	0.505	10.495
<b>Run 11</b>	60	30	0.2	15.2	0.850	14.350
<b>Run 12</b>	100	30	0.2	19	1.289	17.711
<b>Run 13</b>	20	65	0.2	7.6	0.410	7.190
<b>Run 14</b>	60	65	0.2	13.4	0.702	12.698
<b>Run 15</b>	100	65	0.2	16	0.928	15.072
<b>Run 16</b>	20	100	0.2	6.6	0.371	6.229
<b>Run 17</b>	60	100	0.2	10.8	1.001	9.799
<b>Run 18</b>	100	100	0.2	14	0.987	13.013
<b>Run 19</b>	20	30	0.3	10.4	0.387	10.013
<b>Run 20</b>	60	30	0.3	15.8	0.831	14.969
<b>Run 21</b>	100	30	0.3	19.8	1.196	18.604
<b>Run 22</b>	20	65	0.3	7.6	0.392	7.208
<b>Run 23</b>	60	65	0.3	11.4	0.579	10.821
<b>Run 24</b>	100	65	0.3	16.4	0.667	15.733
<b>Run 25</b>	20	100	0.3	6.6	0.385	6.215
<b>Run 26</b>	60	100	0.3	11.4	0.663	10.737
<b>Run 27</b>	100	100	0.3	13.6	0.800	12.800


The relationship between filtrate volume, applied pressure, solids concentration and slurry volume is further elucidated as Figs. 1 to 3. These trace curves were gotten by plotting filtrate volume against varying pressure, solids concentration and volume of slurry, respectively. These curves can be used to determine minimum or maximum points of concern. In some cases the relationship is linear.



**Figure 1:** Relationship between filtrate volume (ml) and applied pressure (psi)



**Figure 2:** Relationship between filtrate volume (ml) and concentration of solids (g/l)




**Figure 3:** Relationship between filtrate volume (ml) and volume of slurry (litres)


As observed in Fig. 1, the filtrate volume increases with increasing applied pressure. This is so because the more pressure is applied, the greater the ability of the fluid to overcome resistance offered by filter cake being formed. This agrees with the work done by other researchers [16,17]. Figure 2 shows that as concentration of solids increased, the filtrate volume decreases. The higher the solids concentration, the easier it will be to form filter cake and the more resistance to fluid flow through the media. This agrees with the investigation of Coakley and Jones [6] where it was observed that dilution of a thickened sludge results in a drop in specific resistance. Figure 3 reveals that the filtrate volume increases with increase in volume of slurry but is optimum at a slurry volume of 0.22 litres beyond which it declines.

Further investigation is being done to determine how the volume of the first stage filtrate is affected by the pressure that is being applied, the concentration of the particles, and the volume of the slurry


that is going to be filtered. Trace curves are depicted in Figs. 4 through 6, and they show how the altering factors have an effect on the initial filtrate volume.



**Figure 4:** First stage filtration volume  $V_1$  against pressure



**Figure 5:** First stage filtration volume  $V_1$  against Concentration of solids




**Figure 6:** First stage filtration volume  $V_1$  against Volume of slurry


Figures 4 to 6 illustrate the link that exists between  $V_1$  and the primary variables. As can be seen in Figure 4, the connection between pressure and  $V_1$  is linear. The first step of filtration is improved by increasing the pressure that is being applied. Figure 5 demonstrates that  $V_1$  decreases as the concentration of solids increases up to around 80 g/l, at which point it remains relatively constant. Figure 6 reveals that 0.18 liters of slurry is the optimum volume of slurry to be used for laboratory filtration. This optimum value of 0.18 liters is comparable to the optimal value of 0.22 liters seen earlier on Fig. 3. This indicates that raising the pressure that is being applied and using a batch of slurry volume in the filter press within the range of 0.18 to 0.22 liters would provide the optimal volume of filtrate.

Further investigation is being done to determine how the volume of the second stage filtrate is affected by the pressure that is being applied, the concentration of the particles, and the volume of


the slurry that is going to be filtered. Trace graphs illustrating how the altering factors affect the amount of the second stage filtrate may be found in Figs. 7 through 9.



**Figure 7:** Second stage filtration volume  $V_2$  against Pressure




**Figure 8:** Second stage filtration volume  $V_2$  against Concentration of solids



**Figure 9:** Second stage filtration volume  $V_2$  against Volume of slurry

As can be observed in Fig. 7, the connection between pressure and  $V_2$  is linear. The level of filtration achieved in the second stage is proportional to the amount of pressure that is applied. The decrease in  $V_2$  that can be seen in Figure 8 can be attributed to an increase in the concentration of solids. As can be seen in Fig. 9, the volume of the second stage filtrate rises as the volume of the slurry rises. This is to be anticipated due to the fact that an increase in the volume of slurry that needs to be filtered implies that there will be an increase in the amount of water content that is available for the second stage of filtration in the event that the filtration process is allowed to continue indefinitely. On the other hand, the curve seems to have a parabolic shape, with a point of inflection observed around 0.18 to 0.22 which may well indicate that as time approaches infinity, the second stage of filtration may become ineffective due to insufficient driving pressure to overcome the resistance offered by the development of consolidated filter cake.

As a potential indicator of the slurry's capacity to be dewatered, the connection between the volume of the second stage of filtration, denoted as  $V_2$ , and the volume of the total filtrate produced was explored. Figure 10 depicts the outcome of this investigation.



**Figure 10:** Relationship between filtrate volume and Second stage filtration  $V_2$

Capillary suction time (CST), specific resistance to filtration (SRF), bound water content, and dry solids content are the four metrics that have been described by Peng et al. [18] for assessing the dewaterability of sludge. These parameters may be found in their respective articles. Because it is one of the primary limiting variables in the amount of water that can be removed from sludge effectively, the bound water content has been selected as an indicator for determining the dewaterability of sludge [19]. The capillary suction time (CST) and the specific resistance to filtration are the two laboratory methods that are used the most frequently when attempting to assess the sludge's ability to be dewatered (SRF). However, it is being noticed that the value of  $V_2$

in Fig. 10 varies in a manner that is both linear and positive in relation to the volume of the filtrate. When the variable  $V_2$  is greater, a sludge sample may be filtered more quickly. This means that the volume of the second stage filtrate, denoted by the symbol  $V_2$ , can be utilized as a direct indicator of the filterability of sludge.

#### **4.0 CONCLUSION**

The study investigated the optimum total and two-phase filtration parameters for laboratory filtration of sludge using a filter press. Synthetic sludge proved to be especially useful because the sludge characteristics can easily be varied as intended. It was observed that increasing applied pressure from 20 psi to 100 psi and decreasing the solids concentration from 100 g/l to 30 g/l greatly improved the filtrate recovered from the filtration process of sludge. However, unlike applied pressure and solids concentration, slurry volume was discovered to be optimum at 0.22 litres beyond which filtrate volume decreases. The first phase filtrate volume was discovered to improve with increasing applied pressure and decreasing solids concentration. However, at 0.18 litres, the first phase filtrate volume was discovered to be at optimum. It is therefore concluded that an optimum range of 0.18 to 0.22 litres is required for both rapid and complete filtration. The effects of applied pressure and solids concentration on the second stage filtrate volume were similar to the first stage filtrate volume. However, as volume of slurry increases the second stage filtrate volume increases as more liquid is available to participate in this stage if filtration is allowed to run indefinitely. It was also discovered that the second stage filtrate volume is directly proportional to the total filtrate volume. This offers the opportunity to utilize  $V_2$  as an indicator of filtration potential of various slurries in the laboratory.

#### **DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## REFERENCES

1. Stickland, A. D., De Kretser, R. G. and Scales, P. J. (2005): "Nontraditional Constant Pressure Filtration Behavior". *AIChE J.*, 51, 2481.
2. Dahlstrom, D. A. (1987): "Filtration in the Mineral Industry" in *Filtration: Principles and Practices* (M. J. Matteson and C. Orr ed.), Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1987, 447- 494.
3. Matteson, M. J. and Orr, C. (1987): "Filtration: Principles and Practices", Marcel Dekker, Inc.
4. Treffry-Goatley, K., Buchan, M. I., Renchen, G. E. and Buckley, C. A. (1987): "The Dewatering of Sludges Using a Tubular Filter Press", *Desalination*, 67, 467-479.
5. Onoh, C., Ademiluyi, J. O. and Amah, V. E. (2021): "Factors affecting capillary suction time of sludge using wet front distance and filtrate flow rate methods". *AJARR*, 15(1): 44-53.
6. Coackley, P. and Jones, R. S. (1956): "Vacuum sludge filtration". *Sewage and industrial waste journal*. 45(2): p. 301-314.
7. Carman, P. C. (1933): "A Study of the Mechanism of Filtration. Part I." *Jour. Soc. Chem. Ind. (Brit.)*, 52, 280T Eugene, H., Trubnick, H. and Peter, K. M. (1958): "sludge dewatering practice". *Sewage and Industrial Wastes*, Vol. 30, No. 11, pp. 1364-1378.
8. Rudolfs, W. and Gehm, H. W. (1939): "Colloids in Sewage and Sewage Treatment. I. Occurrence and Role. A Critical Review." *Sewage Works Jour.*, 11, 5, 727.
9. Garber, W. F. (1954): "Plant-Scale Studies of Thermophilic Digestion at Los Angeles". *THIS Journal*, 26, 10, 1202.
10. Eugene, H., Trubnick, H. and Peter, K. M. (1958): "sludge dewatering practice". *Sewage and Industrial Wastes*, Vol. 30, No. 11, pp. 1364-1378.
11. Ademiluyi, J. O. and Egbuniwe, N. (1984): "Units of specific resistance". *EWTJ Vol.* 24, pp. 233-236
12. Schepman, B. A., and Cornell, C. F. (1956): "Fundamental Operating Variables in Sewage Sludge Filtration." *Sewage and industrial Wastes*, 28, 12, 1443.
13. Torpey, W. N., and Lang, M. (1952): "Elutriation as a Substitute for Secondary Digestion." *Sewage and industrial Wastes*, 24, 7, 813 (July 1952).
14. Amah, V. E. and Ademiluyi, J. O. (2022): "Double Exponential Modelling of Sludge Filtration". PhD Thesis, University of Nigeria, Nsukka.
15. Ademiluyi, J. O. and Eze, B. I. (2014): "FMTLxLyLz dimensional equation for sludge drying beds". *NIJOTECH J.* Vol. 33, No. 3, pp. 367-374.
16. Saputri, R. Y., Nisa, Q. A. K., Yulianto, M. E., and Paramita, V. (2019): "Effect of Pressure Differences on Sludge Filtration Process Efficiency by Using Plate Filter Press". *Journal of Vocational Studies on Applied Research*. Vol. 1(2)2019:22-26.
17. Dickenson, T. Christopher. *Filters and filtration handbook*. Elsevier, 1997.
18. Peng, G., Fenxia, Y. and Ying, L. (2011): "Comparative investigation of Parameters for determining the dewaterability of Activated sludge". *Water Environment Research*. Wiley, Vol. 83, No. 7, pp. 667-671.
19. Lee, D. J. (1995): "Measurement of Bound Water in Waste Activated Sludge: Use of the Centrifugal Settling Method". *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.*, 61, 139-144.