

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_97103
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOGAS PRODUCTION FROM CO-DIGESTION OF CATTLE MANURE, ORYZA SATIVA AND PHASEOLUS VULGARIS IN PLUG FLOW DIGESTER
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?	Yes. As it is a study about biogas production, it will help scientific community in the field of alternative fuels. This study is about three bio digesters with different feed rate	
2. Is the title of the article suitable?	Yes. Title is suitable Little more background explanation is needed in the abstract to make it convergent towards topic.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes Yes it is scientifically correct.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes Yes it is scientifically correct.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes As per the title, author would have done analysis by changing ORYZA SATIVA AND PHASEOLUS VULGARIS percentage to study the yield of bio gas or to optimize the quantity of those two for higher yield of biogas.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		
7. Analysis		
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	More optimization is needed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G Sujaykumar
Department, University & Country	Yenepoya Institute of Technology, India