

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_97644
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF EXTRACTS OF <i>Rhizophora mangle</i> ON SOME HAEMATOLOGICAL PARAMETERS OF WISTAR RATS.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalahrj.com/index.php/AHRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The article studied about effect of the sub-chronic administration of <i>Rhizophora mangle</i> on hematological parameters of Wistar rats. It was carried out at three different doses. YES YES YES YES YES The authors need to specify, why they focussed only on the hematological parameters, when complete sub chronic toxicity/ safety studies might have been carried out using the same experimental protocol. There is no relation in the need of investigation on hematological parameters and claimed uses of the plant. The discussion and conclusion mentioned in the article, cannot be drawn from the parameters they have reported. For example, in discussion they have mentioned "haematopoietic system is indeed sensitive to different constituents of plant extract which may exert both toxic or nontoxic effects on the bone marrow". How that can be interpreted just by measuring hematological parameters, without studying any marker for bone marrow function.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The scientific need of this study need to be more elaborated	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	D. Sujatha
Department, University & Country	Sri Padmavathi Mahila Visvavidyalayam, India