

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Hematology Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AHRJ_97144</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Simultaneous occurrence of CML and CLL: A Rare Presentation</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case study</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalahrj.com/index.php/AHRJ/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the article describes one of the few cases of Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (CML) and Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL) in the same patient. The description of the case provides knowledge on the subject.</p> <p>2. It is suggested to replace in the title CML by Chronic Myeloid Leukemia and CLL Chronic by Lymphocytic Leukemia.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is consistent with the content of the work.</p> <p>4. Yes, the sections are correct. However, the results could improve if FISH images are included.</p> <p>5. Yes, the article is scientifically correct, and the information is relevant.</p> <p>6. The references correspond to the subject, because it is a rare condition, there are not many current references. However, it is necessary to attend to some of them: It is suggested to replace reference 1 by Arruga, F., Gyau, B. B., Iannello, A., Vitale, N., Vaisitti, T., &amp; Deaglio, S. (2020). Immune Response Dysfunction in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia: Dissecting Molecular Mechanisms and Microenvironmental Conditions. International journal of molecular sciences, 21(5), 1825. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21051825">https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21051825</a>.</p> <p>7. Throughout the text, it is necessary to include paragraph references: To: "Whereas, CML is a myeloproliferative... phase and a blast crisis." To: "In the latter cases, the potential ... explaining the sequence of events." To: "Chemotherapeutic drugs might have ... might be misleading then." Include supporting references for the mentioned side effects. To: Very few cases in literature were ...CLL and hence it was kept under observation.</p> <p>8. In addition, it is necessary to review the order of the references in the discussion, in the first paragraph the authors go from reference 11 to reference 15 in the second paragraph. Remember that the reference numbers must be consecutive.</p> <p>9. Finally, the text should indicate the tables; It is recommended to place at the end of: Following were his follow up CBCs (Table 1): However, to the best of our knowledge, simultaneous occurrence of CML and CLL has been seen in only 9 cases (Table 2).</p> <p>10. The line: "Following are the case reports where CLL and CML were diagnosed simultaneously : " can be removed.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Style editing and English proofreading are recommended after corrections.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mauricio Andres Salinas Santander
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma de Coahuila, Mexico