

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_97078
Title of the Manuscript:	PARAOXONASE-1 L/M 55 GENE POLYMORPHISM IN THE CASES OF PRIMER IMMUNE THROMBOCYTOPENIA
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalahrj.com/index.php/AHRJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is very original and interesting, especially for the suggestion of a possible association between oxidative stress and outcome of therapy. While the actual use of TAOC, TOS and OSI in clinical practice could be challenging to implement, it could find a niche in those cases of chronic multi-refractory ITPs.</p> <p>The title is suitable for the actual content of the manuscript.</p> <p>The abstract is fairly comprehensive of the sections of the manuscript. The manuscript's structure is appropriate. The non-experimental sections of the manuscript are in line with the current major literature available on the subject. For the original sections of the manuscript, please note that in the Materials and Methods section it should be specified that blood sample analyses were centralized in a single laboratory to ensure that there are no analytic biases. Given the extensive literature available on the subject chosen, the total number of references may be low. However, it is also true that the majority of the articles available on the role of PON-1 in platelet function, that have been published in the last 5 years, is focused on the setting of cardiovascular disease and the anti-platelet treatments related to it.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of English and the overall fluency of sentences should be improved before publication. Some minor typos are present in both text and tables and should be fixed, although they do not affect the overall readability and understandability of the paper.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>PON-1 began to be studied many years ago, however it should be noted that the authors have made the first association of the polymorphism of said gene with ITP. Extending the study on Q and R alleles polymorphism could indeed be very insightful.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Riccardo Tomasello
Department, University & Country	Università degli Studi di Palermo, Italy