

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Hematology Research Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AHRJ_96713 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Bone marrow examination: An audit from tertiary care oncology centre |
| Type of the Article | Short communication |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalahri.com/index.php/AHRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>The text describes the experience regarding the bone marrow harvest from a third level oncological centre. The authors report the results obtained in a sample of 111 bone marrow samples. The paper is of some interest for the community.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, table 3 and graphs 4 and 5 can be removed.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The text is worthy of a revision of the English language</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The authors describe bone marrow aspiration under local anaesthesia. Authors should describe how it was used in this series of patients. Does the authors utilize the same local anesthesia for bone marrow biopsy or aspirate? Please specify</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Name: | Massimo Berger |
| Department, University & Country | Ospedale Di Ivrea, Italy |