

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_96713
Title of the Manuscript:	Bone marrow examination: An audit from tertiary care oncology centre
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalahrj.com/index.php/AHRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes but must include the histopathological figures and descriptions for Bone marrow examination yes somewhat yes but must include the histopathological figures yes but must include the histopathological figures yes but must include the histopathological figures	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Please make the red corrections	
Optional/General comments	Please add histopathological figures and make the red corrections	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanaa A. El-Hallawany
Department, University & Country	Animal Reproduction Research Institute, Egypt