

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_96613</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Analysis of International Scientific Production Of Nursing Elderly With Cancer in Palliative Care: Bibliometric Study</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>		
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove one of the two descriptors: Nursing. Nursing Assistance. (I suggest keeping "Nursing Assistance" OR "Nursing Care"); Include "cancer" as a descriptor!</li> <li>I think a time frame of 10 years is sufficient. Older studies may present very different results from those that are more current.</li> <li>I suggest removing from the Summary: After the entire selection process, the dataset was saved in a single file in CSV Excel format, where it was later exported to the VOSviewer® software.</li> <li>Describe the criteria for inclusion in the abstract.</li> <li>This is secondary data: it was shown that most selected publications are concentrated between the years 2019 with 44 (22.22%) publications, 2018 with 37 (18.69%) publications. The United States appears as the country with the largest majority of co-authors, with 81 (40.91%) documents and 750 citations, and the first 15 authors who most published on the subject are from the United States, followed by authors from Canada and Sweden.</li> <li>Explore the main results? What is the relevance of enfermagem for elderly cancer patients in palliative care? What were the main findings?</li> <li>Avoid categorical statements in the text - "the theme is extremely relevant for the practice of nursing and for better health care for the elderly with cancer in the process of death". Wouldn't it be relevant to build an evidence-based body of knowledge?</li> <li>MATERIAL AND METHODS - Suit for "Methodology"</li> <li>The first 3 paragraphs of the Methodology were too long. There is no need for much explanation about the type of study.</li> <li>Exclude: Scopus is considered the largest database of citations and abstracts of academic literature, covering scientific journals, books and conference articles (SANTOS et al., 2017).</li> <li>It is not clear what the authors intended!</li> <li>The following variables were used for data analysis: 1) Citation information (Author; Author(s) ID; Document title; Year; EID; Source Title; Volume, Pages; Citation count; Source and type of document; Publication stage; DOI and open access); 2) Bibliographic Information (Affiliations; Serial Identifiers (eg, ISSN); PubMed ID; Publisher; Original Document Language; 3) Abstracts; author keywords and indexed keywords; 4) references of selected studies.</li> <li><b>Results:</b> Once the pre-established criteria were defined, the search began in the said</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	<p>database, which resulted in 460 publications. After this moment, the title and abstract were previously read. However, after applying the filters, the final sampling resulted in 198 publications, as shown in figure 1, below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update flowchart date!</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>"Analysis"</b> of International Scientific Production of Nursing Elderly with Cancer in Palliative Care: Bibliometric Study. The purpose of a descriptive study is more to report a fact or phenomenon, than to "analyze" Suggestion: <b>"An Overview"</b> of International Scientific Production of Nursing Elderly with Cancer in Palliative Care: Bibliometric Study</li> <li>• When using epidemiological data - look for the most current reference possible. (I suggest reviewing!) "Malignant neoplasms were responsible for approximately 300,000 deaths in <b>2015</b>, with approximately 69% affecting the elderly [3]".</li> <li>• Aparentemente, parte dos estudos incluídos, não diz respeito a Atuação da Enfermagem no cuidados de Pacientes Idosos Oncológicos.</li> <li>• "What Is Different About Patients With Hematologic Malignancies? A Retrospective Cohort Study of Cancer Patients Referred to a Hospice Research Network" (Cuidados de Enfermagem?)</li> <li>• "Risk factors for loneliness in patients with cancer: A systematic literature review and meta-analysis" (Cuidados de Enfermagem?)</li> <li>• "Evaluation of a Continuous Quality Improvement Initiative for End-of-Life Care for Older <b>Noncancer Patients</b>"</li> <li>• From the analysis of the selected studies, it was observed that the publications that made up this bibliometric review addressed 4 large distinct thematic groups: 1) Nursing Diagnoses Observed in Elderly People <b>with Cancer in Chemotherapy Treatment; Relationship to the objective?</b> 2) Nursing Interventions for the Elderly with Cancer in Palliative and Family Care and 3) Nursing Assistance for the Elderly with Cancer in the End of Life Process at Home; 4) <b>Main Depressive Symptoms Evidenced in the Literature for Elderly People with Cancer in Palliative Care. Relationship to the objective?</b></li> <li>• <b>An extensive and generic discussion of the results.</b></li> <li>• <b>Study quality does not necessarily mean publication metrics! See: Fineout-Overholt E, Melnyk BM, Stillwell SB, Williamson KM. Evidence-based practice, step by step: Critical appraisal of the evidence: part III. Am. J. Nurs. 2010;110(11):43-51.</b></li> <li>• Despite the few publications in the database, it is noted that the publications are at a <b>high level of quality</b> when we observe the evaluation metrics of the selected studies.</li> <li>• <b>Primary Care refers to prevention and promotion. It does not apply to palliative patients.</b></li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Michel Marcos Dalmedico</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Tuiuti University of Paraná, Brazil</b></p>