

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96240
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINANTS OF DECISIONS ON CLIMATE CHANGE ADJUSTMENT STRATEGIES AMONG AGRO-PASTORALISTS IN TANZANIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The manuscript is discussing the decisions based on climatic alterations, it is well designed and well written. Yes, the title is suitable. Yes, the abstract is well written. Yes, the sections and structure of the manuscript is ok. Yes, the scientific work is ok. The manuscript needs more updated references.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript is well designed and well written.	
Optional/General comments	Some parts of the manuscript, especially the formula need better work, for example the formula are blurred and in low quality.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zahra Khoshnood
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran