

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94998
Title of the Manuscript:	COVID-19 HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS EXPERIENCES IN KUMAKWANE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is interesting because it provides information on the experiences of health care workers during the covid19 pandemic.</p> <p>The title is appropriate, but the country should be indicated.</p> <p>The abstract is incomplete; the objective of the study is missing.</p> <p>The sub-sections and structure are appropriate</p> <p>This is a descriptive, analytical, cross-sectional study. The author began by presenting the context of the study, a review of the literature, his study framework and the means and methods used, and ended with a discussion and conclusion. The manuscript is scientifically accurate. The references are correct and recent.</p> <p>The author has presented an interesting work, which focuses on the often-ignored experiences and feelings of health workers during the covid pandemic19.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language is appropriate	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yabka Assia
Department, University & Country	University Algiers1, Algeria