

## Original Research Article

### **Effect of Herbicides and Nitrogen levels on *Phalaris minor* and its Impact on Nutrient Uptake in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)**

#### **Abstract**

A field experiment was conducted during the winter (*Rabi*) season of 2018-19 at the Agricultural research farm, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi to study the effect of herbicides and nitrogen levels on associated weeds and the yield of wheat. Nine weed species were commonly infested in the wheat field such as *Phalaris minor*, *Cynodon dactylon*, *Anagallis arvensis*, *Melilotus indicus*, *Chenopodium album*, *Vicia sativa*, *Medicago denticulata*, *Solanum nigrum*, and *Cyperus rotundus*. Among these, *Phalaris minor* was the major weed. Application of Sulfosulfuron ( $25 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$ ) + 2, 4-DEE ( $750 \text{ ml ha}^{-1}$ ) significantly recorded the lowest weed density and biomass and higher weed control efficiency. Among nitrogen and herbicidal treatments, HW twice (30&60 DAS) in combination with  $180 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$  followed by application of Sulfosulfuron ( $25 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$ ) + 2, 4-DEE ( $750 \text{ ml ha}^{-1}$ ) in combination with  $180 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$  performed significantly with respect to reduction in density, the biomass of *Phalaris minor* as well as increased weed control efficiency. Nutrient uptake was significantly highest under HW twice plot (30&60 DAS) followed by application of sulfosulfuron ( $25 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$ ) + 2, 4-DEE ( $750 \text{ ml ha}^{-1}$ ). The total uptake of N, P and K in wheat grain and straw increased with an increased nitrogen levels ( $180 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), whereas uptake of these nutrients was low under lower level of nitrogen, which was attributed to less plant biomass (grain and straw) and low percentage of these nutrients in the plant.

Keywords: Nitrogen levels, sulfosulfuron, 2, 4-DEE, weeds, wheat.

#### **1. Introduction**

Weeds are frequently the most expensive inhibitory factor, which is regarded as the major biotic barrier in obtaining the potential yield of wheat, leading to rising poverty and food insecurity. In order to effectively manage weed infestations of both grassy and broad-leaved

varieties, an integrated strategy combining chemical and non-chemical weed control techniques is required [2]. Multiple herbicides must be used together to effectively manage complex weed flora. Combinations of herbicides not only improve weed control's ability to deal with difficult-to-control weed flora, but also postpone the development of herbicide resistance [21]. Wheat grain output can be reduced by up to 52.2 percent by grassy weeds and up to 55.7 percent by wide leaf weeds revealed by [13]. [18] reported that weeds in the weedy check reduced the grain yield of wheat by 47.5% in comparison with other treatments. A combination of many herbicides is required to manage complex weed flora successfully; this combination not only improves weed control effectiveness against composite weed flora but also delays the development of herbicide resistance [16]. [4] revealed that increasing the level of nitrogen from 0 to 45, 45 to 90 and 90 to 135 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> increased the nitrogen uptake by 28.2, 14.9, 7.7%, phosphorus by 26.2, 13.6, 8.5 % over the preceding levels. The presence and density of weeds in the field, however, were shown to be significantly influenced by the quantities of inputs and the timing of their application. Increasing the nitrogen fertilization from 120 to 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> increased the dry matter accumulation, the number of tillers, and nutrient uptake in turn increasing the grain yield and straw yield [15].

## 2. Materials and Methods

The field study was carried out in the winter (rabi) of 2018–19 at the Banaras Hindu University's Agricultural Research Farm in Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, in the subtropical ~~Indo-gangetic~~Indo-Gangetic Plains at 25°18'–25°18' North Latitude and 83°–83° 03' East Longitude, which is located in the left bank of the ~~River~~river Ganga at an altitude of 75.70 ~~metre~~meters above mean sea level. The soil was a sandy clay loam with a pH of 7, low organic carbon (0.21 percent), accessible N (152 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium P (23.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), and available K (188 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (7.28). With three replications, the experiment was set up using a split plot design.

The treatments comprised of 3 nitrogen levels and 5 weed control methods, viz., nitrogen levels: 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, weed control treatments: Weedy check, Hand weeding at 30 DAS and 60 DAS, Pinoxaden 5.1% EC (40 ml a.i ha<sup>-1</sup>) + 2,4-DEE 38% EC (750 ml a.i ha<sup>-1</sup>) [Tank mixture at 29 DAS], Pendimethalin 30% EC at 1000 ml a.i ha<sup>-1</sup> (pre-emergence) and 2,4-DEE 38% EC (750 ml a.i ha<sup>-1</sup> at 30-35 DAS), Sulfosulfuron 75% WG (25 g a.i ha<sup>-1</sup>)+ 2,4-DEE 38% EC at 750 ml a.i ha<sup>-1</sup> [Tank mixture at 29 DAS]. Wheat variety 'HD-2967' with

100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> seed rate was sown on 9th December, 2018 and the irrigation was provided at critical crop growth stages. A recommended dose of phosphorous ~~and~~ potassium ~~were~~was applied through single super phosphate (SSP), muriate of potash (MOP), respectively at the rate of 60, 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Nitrogen is applied through urea as per the treatment. Half of the nitrogen with whole dose of phosphorous as well as potash were applied as basal application at the time of sowing and remaining half dose of nitrogen was applied as top-dressing in two equal splits after first and second irrigation.

The effectiveness of different treatments considered with respect to weed population, weed dry matter accumulation, nutrient content, depletion (N, P and K) and weed control efficiency. Weeds were collected at randomly placing 25 x 25 cm quadrant in each plot and sundried. After sun drying, samples were placed in the electric oven at 60-65°C for 48 hours for complete drying and the dry weight obtained was expressed in g m<sup>-2</sup>. The weed population (pre-treatment), weed dry weight, and weed control efficiency were recorded at 15, 30, 60, and 90 days after treatment application (DAA), whereas nutrient content and its depletion by weed at 90 DAA. Various crop growth parameters viz, plant height (cm), number of tillers per running meter, plant dry matter accumulation (g) at 30, 60, 90 DAA and at harvest. Leaf chlorophyll content was estimated to measure leaf greenness, non-destructively by using a portable SPAD (Soil Plant Analysis Development)-502 chlorophyll meter (Minolta Camera Co. Ltd., Japan) and this meter operates by clamping the sensor head onto a leaf blade.

Data related to weed components were analyzed using various statistical methods and square root transformation ( $\sqrt{x+0.5}$ ) was undergone for uniformity. To study on uptake of different nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium) by the crop in grain and straw of wheat were estimated at maturity. The plant samples (grain and straw) from wheat were collected separately as per treatment at the time of harvest. These samples were grinded in the grinder after oven dried at 75°C for 72 hours. Thus, the grind plant materials passed through 30-mesh sieve, and these materials taken for determination of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and content. The nutrient contents of these materials were analyzed chemically in laboratory by following the standard procedures. The nutrient uptake was computed by multiplying nutrient content of grain and straw with respective dry weight/yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

**Nitrogen content (%):** The nitrogen content was estimated in grain, straw and weeds by modified Kjeldahl method as per procedure described by Jackson (1973).

**Phosphorous content (%):** Content of phosphorus in grain, straw and weeds were estimated by Vanadomolybdo phosphoric yellow colour method as per procedure described by Jackson [5](1973).

**Potassium content (%):** Potassium content was estimated with the help of flame photometer as per procedure described by [5].

**Nutrient uptake by crop (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>):** Nutrient uptake in grain and straw of the crops were calculated in kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in relation to yield per ha by using the following formula.

$$\text{Nutrient uptake (kg/ha)} = \frac{\text{Nutrient content (\%)} \times \text{Yield (grain/straw in kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{100}$$

**Weed control efficiency:** Weed control efficiency (W.C.E.) can be calculated on the basis of dates of observation by using the formula suggested by [7].

$$\text{Weed control efficiency} = [(DWC - DWT)/DWC] \times 100$$

Where DWC = dry weight of weeds in control (unweeded) plot

DWT = dry weight of weeds in the treated plot

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Weed flora

During the field research, the following prevalent weed species were found to be infested in the experimental field. Grasses like *Phalaris minor*, *Cynodon dactylon*, and the broad-leaved weeds; *Anagallis arvensis*, *Melilotus indicus*, *Vicia sativa*, *Chenopodium album*, *Medicago denticulata*, *Solanum nigrum*, and the only sedge was *Cyperus rotundus*. Here, the effect of herbicides and nitrogen levels on the major weed like *Phalaris minor* and its subsequent impact on growth parameters was shown.

**3.2 Effect of density and dry weight of weeds:** Critical analysis of data revealed that the population of *Phalaris minor* was significantly influenced by different treatments as presented in Table 1&2. The ~~drymatterdry matter~~ of grassy weeds like *Phalaris minor* reduced significantly by the application of sulfosulfuron ( $25 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$ ) + 2,4-DEE ( $750 \text{ ml ha}^{-1}$ ) during 60, 90 DAA. Several researchers like [10] and [9] also observed close conformity with above findings. Among the herbicidal treatments, application of pinoxaden ( $40 \text{ ml ha}^{-1}$ ) + 2,4-DEE ( $750 \text{ ml ha}^{-1}$ ) was recorded lowest weed dry matter accumulation in grasses like *Phalaris minor* also observed minimum dry weight at 15 and 30 DAA and this might be because of minimum weed population of grassy weeds due to the herbicidal effect. Similar findings ~~hashave~~ been also reported by [19] and [9]. The data on weed density and ~~drymatterdry matter~~ of different weeds (Table 1&2) as affected by different nitrogen levels envisaged non-significant differences in weed density of *Phalaris minor* at all the dates of observation. As, overall examination on density of *Phalaris minor* showed that increase in nitrogen application from  $120$  to  $180 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  increased population as well as ~~drymatterdry matter~~ of weeds. These results were in close conformity with findings of [1], [12] and [4].

### **3.3 Effect of herbicides on weed control efficiency**

Critical analysis of data revealed that weed control efficiency (WCE) of *Phalaris minor* was influenced by different treatments are presented in Table 3. At 15 and 30 DAA, higher weed control efficiency was recorded under application of pinoxaden ( $40 \text{ ml ha}^{-1}$ ) + 2, 4-DEE ( $750 \text{ ml ha}^{-1}$ ) followed by sulfosulfuron ( $25 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$ ) + 2, 4-DEE ( $750 \text{ ml ha}^{-1}$ ) and lower weed control efficiency was recorded under application of pendimethalin ( $1000 \text{ ml ha}^{-1}$ ) fb 2, 4-DEE ( $750 \text{ ml ha}^{-1}$ ) at 30 DAA. Whereas at 60 and 90 DAA, higher weed control efficiency was recorded under application of sulfosulfuron ( $25 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$ ) + 2, 4-DEE ( $750 \text{ ml ha}^{-1}$ ) and lower weed control efficiency was recorded under application of pendimethalin ( $1000 \text{ ml ha}^{-1}$ ) fb 2, 4-DEE ( $750 \text{ ml ha}^{-1}$ ). However, the HW twice (30&60 DAS) plot recorded 100% control in *Phalaris minor* at 60, 90DAA. Close examination of data revealed that application of  $180 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$  recorded higher weed control efficiency at 60 and 90 DAA. However, higher weed control efficiency was observed under application of  $120 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$  at 15 and 30 DAA. These results were in close conformity with the findings reported by [6], [14], and [9].

### **3.4 Effect of nutrient content and its uptake by crop and weeds**

The data presented in table 4 and 5 explain about nutrient exhaustion by weeds recorded at harvest stage, dry matter accumulation in weeds is the function of N, P and K. Higher weeds dry weight directs to higher removal of nutrient by weeds. Under herbicidal treatments, HW twice plot followed by application of sulfosulfuron (25 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) + 2,4-DEE (750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded lower nutrient depletion– by weeds than other weed control treatments. The possible reason might be due to weed density and weed dry weight were significantly lower under above mentioned treatments and this resulted in reduced nutrient removal by weed. The nutrient depletion by weeds was more under untreated plot, this may be due to heavy weed infestation, higher dry matter accumulation by weeds and these findings were in close conformity with findings of [4].

The data presented in table 4&5 to explain the nutrient content and its uptake by plant of various treatments. Higher content of N, P, and K in grain and straw was significantly recorded due to weed control treatments. Nutrient uptake is a function of N, P, and K content in grain and straw of crop. It was significantly highest under HW twice plot (30&60 DAS) followed by application of sulfosulfuron (25 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) + 2, 4-DEE (750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>). Increase in availability of N, P and K under this treatment is due to suppression of weed growth and might acts as driving force behind higher dry matter production and nutrient uptake by wheat crop. However, lowest nutrient uptake was observed in weedy check and similar findings observed by [18] and [9]. The percentage of nitrogen, both in grain and straw, increased with increase in level of nitrogen from 120 kg N to 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and this might be attributed to increased absorption and transport of N to different plant parts, including grains than crop supplied with nitrogen. The total uptake of N, P and K in wheat grain and straw increased with an increased nitrogen levels (180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), whereas uptake of these nutrients was low under lower level of nitrogen, which was attributed to less plant biomass (grain and straw) and low percentage of these nutrients in the plant. These findings were in close conformity with the findings of [20] and [15].

**Table 01: Effect of herbicides and nitrogen levels on density (No. m<sup>-2</sup>) of *Phalaris minor* in wheat**

Treatments	Weed density (No. m <sup>-2</sup> )				
	Pre-treatment	15 DAA	30 DAA	60 DAA	90 DAA

Nitrogen levels (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	<i>Phalaris minor</i>				
	120	0.71 (0.00)	0.88 (0.33)	0.88 (0.33)	1.43 (1.87)
150	0.71 (0.00)	0.82 (0.33)	1.19 (1.00)	1.25 (1.33)	1.25 (1.33)
180	0.71 (0.00)	1.10 (0.67)	1.10 (0.67)	1.25 (1.33)	1.31 (1.67)
SEm ±	0.00	0.089	0.13	0.060	0.038
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>Herbicides</b>					
Weedy check	0.71 (0.00)	1.22 (1.00)	1.22 (1.00)	1.82 (2.89)	1.94 (3.33)
HW twice (30&60 DAS)	0.71 (0.00)	1.10 (0.67)	1.10 (0.67)	0.71 (0.00)	0.71 (0.00)
Pinoxaden 5.1% EC (40 ml) + 2,4-DEE* 38% EC (750 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.71 (0.00)	0.71 (0.00)	1.10 (0.67)	1.29 (1.33)	1.19 (1.00)
Pendimethalin 30% EC (1000 ml) fb 2,4-DEE 38% EC (750 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.71 (0.00)	1.10 (0.67)	1.22 (1.00)	1.69 (2.67)	1.29 (1.33)
Sulfosulfuron 75% WG (25 g) + 2,4-DEE 38% EC (750 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.71 (0.00)	0.71 (0.00)	1.10 (0.67)	1.10 (0.67)	1.10 (0.67)
SEm ±	0.00	0.104	0.16	0.151	0.126
CD (P=0.05)	NS	0.304	NS	0.442	0.367

Formatted: English (United States)

\*Ethyl ester, DAA= Days after treatment application, NS= ~~Non significant~~non-significant

Data subjected to square root ( $\sqrt{x + 0.5}$ ) transformation and original data presented in parenthesis

Formatted: English (United States)

Table 02: Effect of herbicides and nitrogen levels on ~~drymatter~~dry matter (g. m<sup>-2</sup>) of *Phalaris minor* in wheat

Treatments	Weed density (No. m <sup>-2</sup> )				
	Pre-treatment	15 DAA	30 DAA	60 DAA	90 DAA

	t				
<b>Nitrogen levels (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	<b><i>Phalaris minor</i></b>				
120	0.71 (0.00)	0.88 (0.33)	0.88 (0.33)	1.43 (1.87)	1.20 (1.00)
150	0.71 (0.00)	0.82 (0.33)	1.19 (1.00)	1.25 (1.33)	1.25 (1.33)
180	0.71 (0.00)	1.10 (0.67)	1.10 (0.67)	1.25 (1.33)	1.31 (1.67)
SEm ±	0.00	0.089	0.13	0.060	0.038
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>Herbicides</b>					
Weedy check	0.71 (0.00)	1.22 (1.00)	1.22 (1.00)	1.82 (2.89)	1.94 (3.33)
HW twice (30&60 DAS)	0.71 (0.00)	1.10 (0.67)	1.10 (0.67)	0.71 (0.00)	0.71 (0.00)
Pinoxaden 5.1% EC (40 ml) + 2,4-DEE* 38% EC (750 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.71 (0.00)	0.71 (0.00)	1.10 (0.67)	1.29 (1.33)	1.19 (1.00)
Pendimethalin 30% EC (1000 ml) fb 2,4-DEE 38% EC (750 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.71 (0.00)	1.10 (0.67)	1.22 (1.00)	1.69 (2.67)	1.29 (1.33)
Sulfosulfuron 75% WG (25 g) + 2,4-DEE 38% EC (750 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.71 (0.00)	0.71 (0.00)	1.10 (0.67)	1.10 (0.67)	1.10 (0.67)
SEm ±	0.00	0.104	0.16	0.151	0.126
CD (P=0.05)	NS	0.304	NS	0.442	0.367

\*Ethyl ester, DAA= Days after treatment application, NS= ~~Non significant~~non-significant

Data subjected to square root ( $\sqrt{x + 0.5}$ ) transformation and original data presented in parenthesis

Formatted: English (United States)

**Table 03: Effect of herbicides and nitrogen levels on weed control efficiency (%) of wheat**

Treatments	Weed control efficiency (%)
------------	-----------------------------

	15 DAA	30 DAA	60 DAA	90 DAA
<b>Nitrogen levels (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)</b>				
120	68.67	69.78	54.55	64.62
150	61.09	66.61	54.70	59.47
180	62.11	69.00	59.34	56.92
<b>Herbicides</b>				
Weedy check	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
HW twice (30&60 DAS)	73.33	77.93	100.0 0	100.0 0
Pinoxaden 5.1% EC (40 ml) + 2,4-DEE* 38% EC (750 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	91.65	92.71	56.54	68.10
Pendimethalin 30% EC (1000 ml) fb 2,4-DEE 38% EC (750 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	71.65	83.77	50.12	53.85
Sulfosulfuron 75% WG (25 g) + 2,4-DEE 38% EC (750 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	83.15	87.90	74.34	79.72

Formatted: English (United States)

EE=Ethyl ester, DAA= Days after treatment application, NS= ~~Non-significant~~non-significant

Data subjected to square root ( $\sqrt{x + 0.5}$ ) transformation and original data presented in parenthesis

**Table 04: Effect of herbicides and nitrogen levels on nutrient content in grain and straw**

Treatments	Nitrogen (%)		Phosphorus (%)		Potassium (%)	
	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw
<b>Nitrogen levels</b>						
120 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.73	0.54	0.37	0.075	0.41	1.37
150 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.78	0.57	0.38	0.074	0.43	1.42
180 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.81	0.58	0.35	0.078	0.45	1.45
SEm ±	0.007	0.011	0.014	0.0005	0.010	0.022
CD (P=0.05)	0.029	NS	NS	0.002	NS	NS
<b>Herbicides</b>						
Weedy check	1.74	0.55	0.37	0.069	0.43	1.34
HW twice (30 & 60 DAS)	1.80	0.58	0.38	0.075	0.44	1.44
Pinoxaden 5.1% EC (40 ml) + 2,4-DEE* 38% EC (750 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.77	0.54	0.36	0.075	0.40	1.41
Pendimethalin 30% EC (1000 ml) fb 2,4-DEE* 38% EC (750 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.76	0.57	0.37	0.079	0.43	1.44
Sulfosulfuron 75% WG (25 g) + 2,4-DEE* 38% EC (750 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.79	0.58	0.36	0.080	0.45	1.43
SEm ±	0.011	0.012	0.011	0.002	0.013	0.022
CD (P=0.05)	0.033	NS	NS	0.007	NS	0.064

EE=Ethyl ester, DAA= Days after treatment application, NS= Non-significant

Data subjected to square root ( $\sqrt{x + 0.5}$ ) transformation and original data presented in parenthesis

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

**Table 05: Effect of herbicides and nitrogen levels on nutrient uptake in grain and straw**

Treatments	Nitrogen (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Phosphorus (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Potassium (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw
<b>Nitrogen levels</b>						
120 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	76.36	36.35	16.55	5.08	18.25	92.23
150 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	83.56	41.28	18.18	5.34	20.06	102.28
180 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	87.70	43.30	17.35	5.83	21.91	109.02
SEm ±	0.469	1.133	0.570	0.036	0.601	2.116
CD (P=0.05)	1.842	4.447	NS	0.141	2.362	8.310
<b>Herbicides</b>						
Weedy check	70.75	37.72	15.11	4.74	17.53	92.20
HW twice (30 & 60 DAS)	87.92	42.70	18.77	5.57	21.55	106.63
Pinoxaden 5.1% EC (40 ml) + 2,4-DEE* 38% EC (750 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	81.93	37.64	17.20	5.25	18.79	98.60
Pendimethalin 30% EC (1000 ml) fb 2,4-DEE* 38% EC (750 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	84.02	41.26	17.60	5.64	20.62	103.74
Sulfosulfuron 75% WG (25 g) + 2,4-DEE* 38% EC (750 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	88.07	42.24	18.10	5.89	21.89	104.70
SEm ±	0.852	1.058	0.523	0.168	0.661	1.823
CD (P=0.05)	2.486	3.089	1.527	0.489	1.928	5.321

EE= Ethyl ester, DAA= Days after treatment application, NS= ~~Non-significant~~non-significant

Data subjected to square root ( $\sqrt{x + 0.5}$ ) transformation and original data presented in parenthesis

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

The manuscript has a poor scientific quality related to the discussion of the results; therefore, I suggest that the authors increase the scientific quality by discussing the results with scientific research already published. I suggest adding a couple of paragraphs like this:

Formatted: Highlight

Our results establish that plant nutrition, fertilization and weed control are key in the development of this crop, as established by the results of [24, 25] in tropical zones comparable to our study area. Historically, Varanasi is a humid area and has a predominantly hot climate, however, the monthly average precipitation has varied over the years, with a decrease in precipitation in the summer, this can be evidenced in the results of [26,27,28,29].

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

It is important to point out that the poor soil moisture condition causes delays in the growth of wheat and other types of crops such as winter cereals and even in tropical territories, it delays sowing, as established by studies of [30,31,32]. The water potentials that occur in soils during periods of drought prevent water absorption [33,34,35,36], affecting the sequence of events involved in the seed germination process [37,38,39].

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

In summary, water stress, weed control and nitrogen fertilization associated with sowing dates is, in terms of the amount of plant matter affected, the most important thing that this type of crop such as wheat can suffer. In this sense, investigations carried out in tropical territories such as [40,41,42] have indicated that the stress caused by drought limits the productivity of these plants and that the intensity of their response depends on the severity and duration of the stress, and the state of crop development. That is why the search for planting dates and varieties tolerant to water stress is one of the ways considered by researchers and producers for the use of areas where droughts are frequent or the necessary water supply is not available [43,44]. Given the intense drought and the scarcity of water resources that have been occurring in the study site that have forced to limit the areas dedicated to cultivation, our study emphasized the need and relevance of studies on the effects of weed control and the levels of nitrogenous fertility.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

#### 4. CONCLUSION

On the grounds of the above-summarized results, the following conclusions have been drawn: The Application of sulfosulfuron (25 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) + 2,4-DEE (750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded reduced weed density and weed drymatter due to higher weed control efficiency. Application of 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in combination with sulfosulfuron (25 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) + 2,4-DEE (750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded higher nutrient content and nutrient uptake in grain and straw of wheat.

Comment [A1]: this is not a conclusion, it is a result.

## REFERENCES

I suggest adding the following scientific publications that will improve the scientific quality of the manuscript.

Formatted: Highlight

1. Borowczak F, Rebarz K, Grzes S. Weed infestation of winter wheat depending on irrigation, cultivation technology and nitrogen fertilization in fourth four-field crop rotation. *Journal of Research and Applications in Agricultural Engineering*, 2012; 57(3): 22-25.

Formatted: English (United States)

2. Chhokar RS, Sharma RK, Sharma I. Weed management strategies in wheat: A review. *Journal of Wheat Research*, 2012; 4: 1-21.

3. Das R, Verma SC. Management of weeds, nitrogen and tillage operations in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) sown after puddled rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2003; 73(5): 286-288.

Formatted: English (United States)

4. Gupta A, Yadav SS, Yadav LR, Gupta AK, Weed Management and Fertility Levels Influence on Weed Growth and Performance of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiological Applied Sciences*. 2019; 8(4): 2038-2044.

5. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India. Pp, 1973; 183-204.

6. Katara P, Kumar S, Rana SS. Influence of pinoxaden in combination with other herbicides on nutrient depletion by weeds in wheat, *Indian Journal Weed Sciences*, 2015; 47: 371-375.

Formatted: English (United States)

7. Mani VS, Pandita ML, Gautam SK. Weed killing chemicals in potato cultivation, *Indian Farming*, 1973.

8. Meena RS, Singh MK. Weed management in late-sown zero-till wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) with varying seed rate. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 2011; 56(2): 127-132.

9. Meena V, Kaushik MK, Dotaniya ML, Meena BP, Das H. Bio-efficacy of ready-mix herbicides on weeds and productivity in late-sown wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 2019; 51(4): 344-351.
10. Pal S, Sharma R, Sharma HB, Singh R, Babu S. Bio-efficacy and selectivity of different herbicides against weed flora in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 2015; 85(5): 655-60.
11. Pandey IB, Dwivedi DK, Prakash SC. Impact of method and levels of fertilizer application and weed management on nutrient economy and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 2006; 51(3): 193-198.
12. Patel SM, Patel JC, Chaudhary PP, Patel, DM, Patel, GN, Patel BM. Effects of nitrogen levels and weed management on production potential of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Research on Crops*, 2012; 13(2): 456-462.
13. Pawar J, Singh R, Kabdal P, Prabhakar D, Kumar S. Optimization rate of pinoxaden+ clodinafop-propargyl for weed control in wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 2017; 49(2): 136-138.
14. Sandhu BS, Dhaliwal NS. Chemical weed management to increase productivity of wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 2016; 48(4): 381-383.
15. Satyanarayana M, Reddy APK, Bhatt PS, Reddy SN, Padmaja J. Effect of Different Varieties and Levels of Nitrogen on Post-Harvest Parameters of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), *International Journal of Pure Applied Biosciences*, 2017; 5(4): 1645-1652.
16. Shaktawat RPS, Somvanshi SPS, Bhadoria SS, Singh HP. Assessment of Premix Broad Spectrum Herbicides for Weed Management in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), *Journal of Krishi Vigyan*, 2019; 7(2): 11-14.
17. Sharma R, Rana MC, Rana SS, Sharma GD. Effect of herbicide combinations on nutrients depletion by weeds in wheat under mid hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh, *Himachal Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2016; 42(1): 78-81.

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

18. Sharma SN, Singh RK. Productivity and economics of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) as influenced by weed management and seed rate. *Progressive Agriculture*, 2011; 11(2): 242-250.
19. Shoeran S, Punia SS, Yadav A, Singh S. Bioefficacy of pinoxaden in combination with other herbicides against complex weed flora in wheat, *Indian Journal of Weed Sciences*, 2012; 45: 90-92.
20. Singh M, Singh, MK, Singh SP, Sahu R. Herbicide and nitrogen application effects on weeds and yield of wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Sciences*, 2015; 47(2): 125-130.
21. Singh S, Punia SS, Yadav A, Hooda VS. Evaluation of carfentrazone-ethyl + metsulfuron-methyl against broadleaf weeds of wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Sciences*, 2011; 43: 12-22.
22. Singh T. 2013. Weed management in irrigated wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) through tank mix herbicides in Malwa Plateau of Central India. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 2013; 58(4): 525-528.
23. Walia US, Kaur T, Nayyar S, Singh K. Performance of Carfentrazone-ethyl 20%+ Sulfosulfuron 25% WDG—a formulated herbicide for total weed control in wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Sciences*, 2010; 42(3&4): 155-158.
24. Olivares B, Hernández R. [Application of multivariate techniques in the agricultural land's aptitude in Carabobo, Venezuela. \*Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems\*. 2020; 23\(2\):1-12. Doi: <https://n9.cl/zeedh>](#)
25. Olivares B, Hernandez R, Arias A, Molina JC, Pereira Y. [Eco-territorial adaptability of tomato crops for sustainable agricultural production in Carabobo, Venezuela. \*Idesia\*. 2020; 38\(2\):95-102. Doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-34292020000200095>](#)
26. Olivares, B, Hernández R. [Ecoterritorial sectorization for the sustainable agricultural production of potato \(\*Solanum tuberosum\* L.\) in Carabobo, Venezuela. \*Agricultural Science and Technology\*. 2019; 20\(2\): 339-354. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.21930/rcta.vol20num2art:1462>](#)

27. Olivares B, Hernández R. Análisis regional de zonas homogéneas de precipitación en Carabobo, Venezuela. Revista Lasallista de Investigación. 2019; 16(2):90-105. Doi: 10.22507/rli.v16n2a9

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International

Sort)

28. Casana S, Olivares B. Evolution and trend of surface temperature and windspeed (1994 - 2014) at the Parque Nacional Doñana, Spain. Rev. Fac. Agron. (LUZ). 2020; 37(1):1-25. Doi: <https://n9.cl/xkfb>

29. Bertorelli M, Olivares BO. Population fluctuation of Spodoptera frugiperda (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in sorghum cultivation in Southern Anzoátegui, Venezuela. Journal of Agriculture University of Puerto Rico. 2020; 104(1):1-16. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.46429/jaupr.v104i1.18283>

30. Olivares B, Torrealba J, Caraballo L. Variability of the precipitation regime in the period 1990-2009 in the location of El Tigre, Anzoátegui state, Venezuela. Rev. Fac. Agron. (LUZ). 2013; 30 (1): 19-32. Doi: <https://n9.cl/mic0l>

31. Olivares B, Zingaretti ML. Application of multivariate methods for the characterization of meteorological drought periods in Venezuela. Revista Luna Azul. 2019; 48, 172:192. Doi: 10.17151/luaz.2019.48.10

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International

Sort)

32. Olivares B, Zingaretti ML. Análisis de la sequía meteorológica en cuatro localidades agrícolas de Venezuela mediante la combinación de métodos multivariados. UNED Research Journal. 2018; 10 (1):181-192. Doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22458/urj.v10i1.2026>

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International

Sort)

33. Olivares B, Cortez A, Parra R, Lobo D, Rodríguez MF, Rey JC. Evaluation of agricultural vulnerability to drought weather in different locations of Venezuela. Rev. Fac. Agron. (LUZ). 2017; 34 (1): 103-129. Doi: <https://n9.cl/hc5xs>

Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)

34. Cortez A, Olivares B, Parra M, Lobo D, Rey JC, Rodríguez MF. Systematization of the calculation of the Standardized Precipitation Index as a methodology to generate meteorological drought information. Rev. Fac. Agron. (LUZ). 2019; 36(2):209-223. Doi: <https://n9.cl/z9k1b>

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International

Sort)

35. Olivares B. Descripción del manejo de suelos en sistemas de producción agrícola del sector Hamaca de Anzoátegui, Venezuela. *La Granja: Revista de Ciencias de la Vida*. 2016; 23(1): 14–24. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.17163/lgr.n23.2016.02>

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

36. Olivares B, Hernández R, Coelho R, Molina JC, Pereira Y. Analysis of climate types: Main strategies for sustainable decisions in agricultural areas of Carabobo, Venezuela. *Scientia Agropecuaria*. 2018; 9(3): 359 – 369. Doi: [10.17268/sci.agropecu.2018.03.07](https://doi.org/10.17268/sci.agropecu.2018.03.07)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

37. Olivares B, Hernández R, Arias A, Molina JC, Pereira Y. Zonificación agroclimática del cultivo de maíz para la sostenibilidad de la producción agrícola en Carabobo, Venezuela. *Revista Universitaria de Geografía*. 2018; 27 (2): 139-159. Doi: <https://n9.cl/ah6c>

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

38. Olivares B. Tropical conditions of seasonal rain in the dry-land agriculture of Carabobo, Venezuela. *La Granja: Journal of Life Sciences*. 2018; 27(1):86-102. <http://doi.org/10.17163/lgr.n27.2018.07>

39. Cortez A, Olivares B, Parra R, Lobo D, Rodríguez MF, Rey JC. Descripción de los eventos de sequía meteorológica en localidades de la cordillera central, Venezuela. *Ciencia, Ingenierías y Aplicaciones*. 2018; 1 (1):22-44. Doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22206/cyap.2018.v1i1.pp23-45>

Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)

Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

40. Cortez A, Rodríguez MF, Rey JC, Ovalles F, González W, Parra R, Olivares B, Marquina J. Variabilidad espacio temporal de la precipitación en el estado Guárico, Venezuela. *Rev. Fac. Agron. (LUZ)*. 2016; 33 (3): 292-310. Doi: <https://n9.cl/pmdck>

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

41. Paredes-Trejo F, Olivares B. El desafío de la sequía en Venezuela. En: Núñez Cobo, J. y Verbist, K. (Eds.). *Atlas de Sequía de América Latina y el Caribe*. 2018; (pp.127-136). Francia: UNESCO.

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

42. Olivares B, Parra R, Cortez A. Characterization of precipitation patterns in Anzoátegui state, Venezuela. *Éria*. 2017; 3 (3): 353-365. Doi: <https://n9.cl/llwyx>

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

43. Olivares B, Cortez A, Rodríguez M, Parra R, Lobo D, Rey JC. Análisis temporal de la sequía meteorológica en localidades semiáridas de Venezuela. *UGCiencia*. 2016; 22 (1):11-24. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.18634/ugcj.22v.1i.481>

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

44. Olivares B, Lobo D, Cortez A, Rodríguez MF, Rey JC. Socio-economic characteristics and methods of agricultural production of indigenous community Kashaama, Anzoategui, Venezuela. *Rev. Fac. Agron. (LUZ)*. 2017; 34 (2): 187-215. Doi: <https://n9.cl/clm17>

UNDER PEER REVIEW