

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_94357
Title of the Manuscript:	Osmoregulatory appraisal of some osmoprotectants on hydrolytic activities of some enzymes on seeds of two water stressed cultivars of sorghum bicolor (Moench)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejnf.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	INTRODUCTION; Remove of in the fourth line, to read {unfavourable aggro-climatic} add of in the second paragraph to {morphological characters plants}. Remove and in the second paragraph line eleven, to read {biochemical, and metabolic and nutritional compositions}. SERGILO in reference while SERGIO appeared in the text. mother plant to plant stalk in line second paragraph, (Nezhadahmadi <i>et a.</i> 2013) add L as et al, change to they in second line page two {dehydration and their maintain}. Remove activities in second page line five implication on activities hydrolytic, remove enzymes to to and space between, second page second to the last line activities of activities of some, 2.2 Soil Analysis in line three factoring in variation, separate HK in reference {Sachan Deeksha} add the {at the Department of soil} 2.2 second to the last line, 2.6 Planting/posmoprotects sprayed with using with 20 % trehalose in line three, 2.8 Drought Application Control I cultivars were given water while control II were well watered {CHECK the dif.} 2.9 Determination of enzymes , dried used to determine alpha, Total Amylase dinitrosalicylic acid reagen , {reagent},LSD was repeated in table one, DISCUSSION. y is missing in enzymes, D should be added in the reference {WAHEE}in the text,	
Minor REVISION comments	Control I cultivars were given water while control II was well watered {CHECK PLEASE} SERGILO in reference while SERGIO appeared in the text. Corrections made	
Optional/General comments	The researcher has done a good work	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Buba Adamu
Department, University & Country	Adamawa State Polytechnic, Nigeria