

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_95381
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE AND PATTERN OF WORKPLACE VIOLENCE AMONG NURSES AND OTHER HOSPITAL PERSONALS IN TWO SELECTED HOSPITALS IN OGUN STATE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrnh.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Yes, needs some corrections 2. Title: PREVALENCE AND PATTERN OF WORKPLACE VIOLENCE AMONG NURSES AND OTHER HOSPITAL PERSONALS IN TWO SELECTED HOSPITALS IN OGUN STATE. 3. Author can use either Prevalence or pattern. Personnel not personals. 4. Abstract is fine.  5. Whole manuscript should be restructured, for instance, analysis was included in the introduction section without any heading. 6. References are not from recent studies, moreover the reference list should format again.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Whole paper needs formatting and proof reading.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seema Afzal
Department, University & Country	University of Malaya, Malaysia