

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_95381
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE AND PATTERN OF WORKPLACE VIOLENCE AMONG NURSES AND OTHER HOSPITAL PERSONALS IN TWO SELECTED HOSPITALS IN OGUN STATE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This manuscript has presented some factors responsible for occurring work place injury among nurses. Taking measures against the identified factors may contribute preventing work place injury. Yes. This article is suitable Abstract is comprehensive but not organized. It is suggested to be organized. No. There is only introduction and conclusion section. Therefore, author is suggested to put methodology, result and discussion section in manuscript. Yes, but to some extent. Acceptable. However, link was not found for some references.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are some grammatical error and sentence punctuations have not been maintained.	
Optional/General comments	the manuscript on the basis of comments mentioned in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahmudul Hasan
Department, University & Country	Al- Basar International Foundation, Bangladesh