

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_95381
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE AND PATTERN OF WORKPLACE VIOLENCE AMONG NURSES AND OTHER HOSPITAL PERSONALS IN TWO SELECTED HOSPITALS IN OGUN STATE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	-include objective method results and conclusions of the study -It has not separated the paragraphs, they are extensive and separating the introduction from the methodology and results shows it as one, in addition to including the justification problem question and the objective at the end of the introduction -add source to the tables and they must be tables, not tables, they must go without a line on the sides. References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	follow the rules of the journal, check page layout, check spelling and writing	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lilia Jannet Saldarriaga Sandoval
Department, University & Country	University National of Tumbes, Perú