



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. The article needs more writing and organization, namely:</p> <p>2. - does not include all basic sections of a scientific article - it cannot include the information referring to the "Results Section" in the "Methodology Section". Does not display "Discussion" section</p> <p>3. - The Methodology section should be further developed. The information it presents does not make it possible to unequivocally imply the steps taken by the researchers: it must identify and substantiate the type of study, the sample and the sampling technique must be justified (the authors report that they distributed 1000 questionnaires, without identifying to which participants, from where and why. How did they receive the questionnaires?). The data collection instrument must be properly presented with its constitution and type of questions. Statistical treatment must be explicit and justified (say name of the SPSS version and the applied tests).</p> <p>4. - Results - this chapter must be identified with a title. Data tables must be presented in a careful and integrated manner. For example, the results of tables 2 and 3 should be presented in an integrated manner.</p> <p>5. -References. The reference rules are not complied with. According to the Journal's Publication Standards, the Vancouver rules should be adopted. Neither the references in text nor those of the final list comply with this Normative. There are references that cannot be identified by the information presented (e.g. References No. 16,17,18,19....).</p>	<p>All revision done</p>