

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOGER_95311
Title of the Manuscript:	Permeability characteristics of lateritic soils from a dumpsite in Ado-Ekiti, Southwestern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Case study or Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajoger.com/index.php/AJOGER/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>important for reference and comparison, but needed especially with respect to geological settings</p> <p>The title of this journal needs to be added as a case study item</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive, but needs to be improved</p> <p>Already suitable</p> <p>Already suitable</p> <p>Add recent references in the literature review (particularly : 2021-2022; total five journals)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English quality of the article suitable, but needs to be improved	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1.The content needs to be adjusted according to the method used.</p> <p>2. Improve abstract (content) : Repetition of phrase in the abstract, It should consist. problem, objective, methodology, outcome and conclusion</p> <p>3. Need to check the format and cross references from the list given. The format to be standardize and check carefully.</p> <p>4. Explain the selection of the study area. Give reason</p> <p>5.Map needs to be adjusted</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arisona
Department, University & Country	Haluoleo University, Indonesia