

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_100168
Title of the Manuscript:	Postmenopausal status exacerbates stress-related neurological disorders in rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1 Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article suitable</p> <p>3. No, the abstract of the article needs improvement</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript could be improved</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6 No, references neither sufficient nor recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications but could be improved</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Author has performed <i>Postmenopausal status exacerbates stress-related neurological disorders in rats.</i></p> <p>The manuscript requires revision before it could be considered for publication.</p> <p>1. Abstract is too shallow, abstract should give a very brief account of the aims, methods, key findings, and conclusions of a study with a couple of sentences from each part.</p> <p>2. The manuscript is grossly under-referenced. The first two sentences of first paragraph of introduction should be referenced. For instance, under introduction in the first paragraph, "<i>In women, aging is closely linked to menopause, a physiological state due to ovarian insufficiency. Estrogen depletion related to this ovarian shortage induced among others climacteric symptoms (hot flashes, night sweats, sleep problems, anxiety, depression, low libido) and symptoms of vulvovaginal including vaginal dryness, dysbiosis, and dyspareunia</i>" author should state the reference (s) for these.</p> <p>3. Most of references are obsolete, Author should replace old references with most recent ones at least five years upward.</p> <p>4. The methodology is not clearly stated. For clarity and flow, a flow chart showing how the experiment was carried out should be provide.</p> <p>5. Author should explain novelty of this study.</p> <p>6. The conclusion should include the most important findings and the outcomes</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	thereof. The future perspectives are also expected to be mentioned in this part. This manuscript requires revision before it could be considered for publication.	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olasupo Sabitu Babatunde
Department, University & Country	Nigeria