

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_100981
Title of the Manuscript:	Lessons Learnt from the Management of Severe COVID-19 Disease in a 93-year-old with Chronic Co-Morbidities at a resource-constrained Centre in Nigeria – A Retrospective Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes it could be important although it is based on a single patient monitored in COVID</p> <p>Instead of a retrospective study, it could be a retrospective single case study</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Since there was only one patient in the study, I didn't understand the use of SPSS for statistics as mentioned by the authors</p> <p>It is documenting in a retrospective way of how an elderly patient was rescued during COVID with collaborative unit support. Hence it is acceptable. Since it is a patient data, ethical approval is needed but the authors have written "not applicable" for ethics approval.</p> <p>Yes, it is sufficient. But few additional references could be added for confounding disease for pneumonia, sepsis like that of Melioidosis.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sriram Kannan
Department, University & Country	ICMR, India