

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_99225</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of weed management practices on growth and yield of aerobic rice.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li><li><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li><li><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li><li><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li><li><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li><li><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li></ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. Yes it is important for scientific community.</b></li><li><b>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</b></li><li><b>3. Yes, the Abstract of the article is comprehensive. Some abbreviate term should be full form ie EPOE.</b></li><li><b>4. It seems to be fine. But some table can be converted to figure (Histogram).</b></li><li><b>5. Yes, correct.</b></li><li><b>6. Latest references are requested to be included (specially 2018-2023) in different sections.</b></li></ol>	

## Review Form 1.7

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Language must be proofed by the native Speaker.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	At least two graphical presentations would be expected for better understanding.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rashidul Hasan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bangladesh Army University of Engineering and Technology, Bangladesh</b>