

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100938
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of edible coating on shelf-life enhancement of papaya (<i>Carica papaya</i> L.) at ambient conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Suggestion: revise to Effect of edible coating on physico-chemical characteristics of papaya (<i>Carica papaya</i> L.) at ambient conditions No, should briefly include all results and not generalized it Yes Yes, but should clearly write details of each sample analysis: TSS value, determination of vitamin C content and titrable acidity and in organoleptic analysis (state also how you determine the score for taste, texture, colour, appearance and overall acceptability, as it is not clear the recorded values indicate of what? Some references are too old, if possible refer to the recent 5 years references Discussion: some statement were not supported by the data, it might misleading to the result interpretation.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	OK	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dzun Noraini
Department, University & Country	International Islamic University, Malaysia