

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100835
Title of the Manuscript:	First Report of Three Invasive Whitefly species (Aleyrodidae: Hemiptera) from West Bengal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, it is important for new researchers among scientific community.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>3. <b>No.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes, its all sections are appropriate with minor corrections.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes, the methodology of the research work is correct with minor correction.</b></p> <p>6. <b>Some references of recent years are required to strengthen in the manuscript as well.</b></p> <p>Certainly, this research paper is good for scientific community who want to study about the whitefly species. But some improvement is little bit required in 'Methods &amp; Material' Section and references in the text should be in Bracket as per journal guideline and accordingly listed in references.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, language and English quality of the article is appropriate.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Paper is accepted with little correction</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rakesh Singh Marabi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, India</b>