

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100521
Title of the Manuscript:	Study the Effect of Addition of Kinnow Juice on Sensory Properties of Kalakand
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. The title should be corrected as "Study on the Effects Addition of Kinnow Juice on Sensory Properties of Kalakand"</p> <p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. Need to be arranged as per Journal's guidelines.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Reverences provided are scanty and very old. Some more recent references need to be included in Introduction and Discussion Prat.</p> <p>In the present article, the specific statistical tests and analysis are not mentioned, mentioning a recognized design adds credibility to the study. With further information on sample size, and specific statistical tests used, it is easier to assess the authenticity of this analysis fully.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Few grammatical mistakes are observed and needs correction.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. It is noted that some more parameters, like the effect of kinnow juice on the microbiological and physicochemical properties of kalakand, could have been studied to validate the research work. It may be considered for publication as a short communication, provided the author makes the above modifications.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saurabh Kumar Laskar
Department, University & Country	College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, India